STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE

August 31, 2017 - 2:00 p.m. DAY 30 49 Donovan Street Afternoon Session ONLY Concord, New Hampshire

{Electronically filed with SEC 09-12-17}

SEC DOCKET NO. 2015-06 IN RE:

NORTHERN PASS TRANSMISSION -EVERSOURCE; Joint Application of Northern Pass Transmission LLC and Public Service of New Hampshire d/b/a

Eversource Energy for a

Certificate of Site and Facility

(Hearing on the Merits)

PRESENT FOR SUBCOMMITTEE/SITE EVALUATION COMMITTEE:

Chmn. Martin Honigberg Public Utilities Comm. (Presiding Officer)

Cmsr. Kathryn M. Bailey Dir. Craig Wright, Designee Dept. of Enrivon. Serv. Christoper Way, Designee

Public Utilities Comm. Dept. of Business &

William Oldenburg, Designee

Economic Affairs Dept. of

Patricia Weathersby

Transportation Public Member

ALSO PRESENT FOR THE SEC:

Michael J. Iacopino, Esq. Counsel for SEC (Brennan, Caron, Lenehan & Iacopino)

Pamela G. Monroe, SEC Administrator

(No Appearances Taken)

COURT REPORTER: Cynthia Foster, LCR No. 14

I N D E X

WITNESS PANEL	CHERILYN	WIDELL	PAGE NO.	
	VICKY I	BUNKER		
QUESTIONS FROM SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS & SEC COUNSEL BY:				
Mr. Wri	ght	4		
Cmsr. B	ailey	13		
Mr. Way		21		
Mr. Iac	opino	22		
Chairma	n Honigberg	41		
Mr. Old	enberg	43		
Redirect Examinat	cion by Mr.	Walker	45	
WITNESS PANEL	TERRENCE	DEWAN		
	JESSICA 1	KIMBALL	53	
Direct Examination by Mr. Needleman			53	
Cross Examination by Ms. O'Connor			55	

EXHIBITS

EXHIBIT ID	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
116	DHR Policy Memorandum	
	January of 2016	46
APP 16	Joint Prefiled Direct	
	Testimony of DeWan and	
	Kimball	54
APP 92	Supplemental Joint	
	Prefiled Testimony of	
	DeWan and Kimball	54
CFP 441	Area Form North Road	
	Agricultural Historic	
	District	109
CFP 459	Boyle Photo Route 3 Howland	
	Road, Clarksville	114
CFP 460	Photo	122

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (Hearing resumed at 2:00 p.m.) 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: We're going Mr. Wright, you have the microphone. 4 to resume. 5 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. DIR. WRIGHT: 6 QUESTIONS BY DIRECTOR WRIGHT: 7 Good afternoon, Ms. Widell. Q (Widell) Good afternoon, Mr. Wright. 8 Α 9 Dr. Bunker. 0 10 Α (Bunker) Good afternoon. 11 Q I think I mainly have some clarification 12 questions at this point. That's one of the beauties of going a little bit later in this 13 14 The other people ask questions and process. 15 then you get to follow up on them. 16 Ms. Widell, I think this would go to you. 17 So when you got down to the 194 historical sites where there was a sufficient visual 18 19 relationship? 20 (Widell) Um-hum. Α 21 Ms. Weatherby went down this road a little bit. 0 22 I thought from that point there were four 23 criteria you used to determine if there was an 24 adverse impact. Am I missing something or is

```
1
           there only three?
 2
           (Widell) Let me explain.
      Α
 3
           Okay.
      0
           (Widell) No. That's not exactly accurate so let
 4
      Α
 5
           me explain.
 6
           Okay.
      0
 7
           (Widell) And this is on each of the Assessment
      Α
 8
           Forms in the Assessment Report. You see the two
 9
           from focal point and isolation.
10
      0
           Yes.
11
      Α
           (Widell) That's actually a tool that we use.
12
           Guidance that we used. We were applying the
13
           adverse effect, the 36 C.F.R. adverse effect
14
           definition, but that was a tool for applying
           that for finding a visual adverse effect. Did
15
16
           you want me to talk more about that?
17
           Just a little bit more so I want to make sure
      Q
18
           it's sunk into my brain.
19
           (Widell) Okay. The definition of an adverse
      Α
20
           effect is when you have an undertaking that may
21
           directly or indirectly --
22
      Q
           Okay.
23
           (Widell) -- diminish the character defining
      Α
24
           features. It could be the field the
```

architecture, the view in a way that it would affect its integrity which would mean it was no longer eligible for the National Register. And so there's 7 things of integrity. I can go into those if you want.

Q No, I don't think you need to.

A (Widell) So that's the big definition. You always are keeping that in your mind because that's the definition. We then use these tools for determining what views, what could affect, visually affect those character-defining features. So viewing of a building that has architectural significance from its primary facade certainly might cause a visual adverse effect or if the property is orienting toward where the Project is and it didn't have that in its viewshed and the viewshed is part of its importance, then that could cause an adverse effect.

If the new structures cause a focal point meaning it's right there, it's looming over the building itself or the associated agricultural field that it makes that property significant that could cause a visual adverse effect, and

```
the last one is where it would isolate it.
 1
 2
          Would the structures prevent the house and the
 3
          barn from being connected with its associated
          agricultural fields.
 4
 5
               So what you're seeing when we say to/from
 6
          focal point or isolation is really short and
          it's a check box, but you can see that we're
 7
          thinking very broadly about the significance of
 8
 9
          the property when we do that.
10
          Okay. I think that helps. Thank you.
      0
11
      Α
           (Widell) Thank you.
12
          You mentioned some of the tools you used, and
      0
13
          Ms. Weathersby went down this road also.
14
          do want to follow up on the 3-D modeling because
15
          that was one of the tools that you used to make
16
          that assessment.
17
      Α
          (Widell) Yes. Yes.
18
          And now, I assume that modeling was actually
      Q
19
          done by the Preservation Company. That wasn't
20
          done by you?
21
                         The, there are different pieces of
      Α
           (Widell) No.
22
          the tool. Some are Google Earth that all of us
23
          use, okay?
24
      0
          Yes. Okay.
```

1 (Widell) The topography of a particular parcel Α 2 of land comes from Google Earth. The type man 3 that you can put on a piece of property that shows you what the views would be at a 7 foot 4 5 That is part of the Google Earth hiah. 6 modeling. The Project itself and where it's 7 located on the landscape and the structures and the conductors and how they droop from one 8 9 structure to another, I'm not very technical, 10 but that was put together by the engineers 11 associated with the Project that knew how that, 12 I think it's Burns & McDonnell. 13 Q So ultimately, who puts all those pieces 14 together? 15 Α (Widell) We put it all together. Terry DeWan 16 trained a couple of the Preservation Company 17 team members, the younger ones who are more 18 proficient in computers, and they spent time at 19 Terry DeWan's office in getting an understanding of this computer 3-D modeling, and then we went 20 21 through every historic property that we found 22 had significance and possible visual adverse 23 effect. 24 So did that modeling lead to a result in any of 0

```
1
           the six adverse impact sites?
 2
           (Widell) Yes.
      Α
           Okay. So a couple of them were identified
 3
      0
           through that modeling?
 4
 5
           (Widell) Yes.
      Α
 6
           So, obviously, those are contained in the
      0
           record.
 7
 8
      Α
           (Widell) Yes.
 9
           Were there some that were ruled out as a result
      0
10
           of that modeling?
11
      Α
           (Widell) Yes.
12
           Do we have that information?
      0
13
      Α
           Yes.
                 In every, in both the Assessment Forms
14
           that were done and the Effects Tables.
                                                    It talks
15
           about the use of 3-D modeling when it was used
           as a decision maker.
16
17
           When somebody is looking at the results of this
      Q
18
           3-D modeling, there's a yes and no question up
19
                   It's either yes, the Project is visible
           front.
20
           or no, the Project is not visible, correct?
21
           (Widell) No. Not exactly.
      Α
22
           Okay.
      Q
23
           (Widell) We go back to my, just because the
      Α
24
           Project is visible doesn't necessarily mean that
```

```
1
           it is going to have an adverse effect.
 2
                 I understand that. But somebody, that's a
      0
           Yes.
 3
           checkpoint in my mind. It's either visible or
           not visible.
 4
 5
           (Widell) Yes. That's where you start.
      Α
 6
           And then somebody goes to the next step?
      0
           (Widell) Yes.
 7
      Α
           Who makes that next determination as to whether
 8
      Q
 9
           it's adverse?
10
      Α
           (Widell) I did that with the Preservation
11
           Company team and went through each of the
12
           properties to look at that.
13
      0
           Okay. So you personally reviewed all of those.
14
           (Widell) No, what we did was did we think it
      Α
15
           potentially had an adverse effect. The bottom
16
           line is in the Section 106 process, adverse
17
           effects are just actually established between
18
           the federal agency and the DHR, the State
19
           Historic Preservation Office, so they will
20
           determine finally which --
21
           And that hasn't occurred yet.
      0
22
      Α
           (Widell) That has not occurred yet.
23
           We've heard, and this was asked of you already,
      0
24
           but I want to follow up on it again.
                                                 We've
```

```
1
          heard multiple times through this process that
 2
          there could be some changes to the configuration
 3
          of the line. For example, somebody may want to
          move a tower structure to avoid a further
 4
 5
          wetlands impact. Does that impact what you've
 6
          already done? Do you need to go back and look
          at it again in those cases?
 7
           (Widell) In truth, it might, but my
 8
      Α
 9
          understanding is that those would be minimal.
10
          Okay. Also in this model, you use a 40-foot
      0
11
          tree wall.
12
      Α
           (Widell) Yes.
13
           I think you described it in the report.
      0
14
          (Widell) Yes.
      Α
15
      0
          How is the boundary of that tree wall
16
          established? Is that off of Google Earth or
17
          something like that?
18
           (Widell) It is. The topography, Google Earth
      Α
          shows you where stands of trees are. Now, it's
19
          not, they use the term wall. It actually is
20
21
          where there might be a forested part, and the
22
          40-foot is a conservative heighth for trees in
23
          this landscape is my understanding so that's how
24
          that was chosen. So it is delineated because
```

1 the topography map does not show 3-D. 2 to place the 3-D in it. 3 Right. 0 (Widell) So that tree wall is put in the areas 4 Α 5 where it's forested to give you an idea of what 6 might prevent some views or not. 7 Q Okay. And the assumption is that that wall is, you can't see through that wall. 8 9 Α (Widell) Yes. If, in fact, it is a forested 10 portion. I mean, you can see that on the 11 topography how, and that's the only depth that 12 you would put the trees would be where they 13 actually are indicated on the Google Earth view 14 of the parcel. 15 0 And in the report, it noted in some limited 16 cases greater than 40-foot was used where it was 17 verified that the trees were above 40 feet? 18 (Widell) That did not happen very often but yes, Α 19 where we were able to verify it. 20 What about the reverse of that? Are there cases 0 21 where the 40-foot tree wall would be a poor 22 assumption? Was that verified at all? 23 Α (Widell) I can tell you that if in fact it was not a forested portion and you did not have 24

```
1
           40-foot trees, we would not have put it in the
 2
           3-D modeling. Absolutely.
 3
           Okay. I was just worried that it might work the
      Q
 4
           other way as well.
 5
           (Widell) Fair enough. Fair enough. And that's
      Α
 6
           absolutely, we were, we've really worked very
 7
           hard to make this a conservative understanding.
           I think most of my other questions were asked.
 8
      Q
 9
           So I think I'm good. But I'm going to check my
10
          notes to make sure.
11
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Commissioner
12
           Bailey?
13
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Thank you,
14
           Mr. Chairman.
15
      QUESTIONS BY CMSR. BAILEY:
16
           Good afternoon.
      Q
17
           (Widell) Good afternoon.
      Α
18
           I think most of my questions are for Dr. Bunker.
      Q
19
           So you might get a break.
20
           (Widell) Thank you so much, Commissioner.
      Α
21
           Dr. Bunker, did you do a Phase 1-A Assessment on
      0
22
           Old County Road where we've heard that there may
23
          be human remains?
24
      Α
           (Bunker) I did do a Phase 1-A on that road, yes.
```

1 Do you understand the area where people think 0 2 there are human remains, that there was a 3 cemetery, an unmarked cemetery? (Bunker) Yes, I do understand. 4 Α 5 And did you do a Phase 1-A Assessment in that 0 6 area? (Bunker) I did a Phase 1-A Assessment, and I 7 Α revisited the area upon learning about these 8 9 remains from a local person, and went back 10 again. I understand the lay of the land, the 11 topography, the features guite well, and our 12 team interviewed a local person to get more 13 information. So I have been there a couple of 14 times. 15 0 Okay. And but you haven't done a Phase 1-B 16 Assessment yet? 17 (Bunker) that is correct. I have not. Α 18 And why is that? Q 19 (Bunker) The Phase 1-B requires subsurface Α 20 excavation which is not mechanical. We're not 21 talking about backhoes. We're talking about 22 shovels. And the Project submitted a request to 23 the towns to conduct a Phase 1-B survey, and the 24 towns declined our request.

1 And what about in the 20-foot APE off of the 0 2 road? (Bunker) That was what it was for. That's 3 Α 4 included in the request. 5 And the town would not allow you to excavate 0 6 that area to determine if there were human 7 remains there? (Bunker) The town simply declined the request. 8 Α 9 I don't know about allowed or what was in their 10 decision making process. I did not attend any 11 of that. 12 So if the town declines your request, then does 0 13 the Project, if it were sited, just proceed and 14 then discover it as they're excavating? 15 Α (Bunker) No. As I understand it, the Phase 1-B 16 excavation would be, and the burials are 17 subsumed under this Phase 1-B discussion, would 18 be conducted later. 19 Why would the town permit you to do it later? 0 20 (Bunker) I'm sorry. I don't know the nuances Α 21 well enough to answer that. 22 Q Are you convinced that they are ever going to 23 allow you to do it? 24 (Bunker) I think at that point it's a request Α

1 from the Committee, not from the town. 2 sorry to say I don't understand the process well 3 enough beyond the work assignment to answer that in detail. 4 5 Okay. I think I understand. 0 6 You in answering another question said that 7 generally you don't have to analyze roads because they've already been disturbed. 8 (Bunker) Yes, I did say that. 9 Α 10 Does that include what we've heard been called 0 11 in these proceedings unbuilt roads where they 12 just, you know, they were cow paths and then 13 they got hardpacked and just sort of ended up 14 being built by townspeople? Does that include those roads? 15 16 (Bunker) Not necessarily. I can explain a Α 17 little more detail if you wish. 18 Please. Q 19 (Bunker) For the State roads, and the two Α 20 federal roads components on US Route 3, for 21 those roads I feel very confident that more modern activities would have compromised the 22 23 subsurface integrity under the pavement, and the 24 basis for my decision making on that is based

off from a series of construction plans from the early 20th century up to around the early 2000s that show significant modifications in maintenance, restoration, realignment, and such, which to my interpretation would have disturbed sufficiently any zones beneath the roads.

On the locally maintained roads which are some of them still dirt, your question is a good one, and for the most part these roads have also undergone quite a bit of modification, filling, grading, crowning, cutting into a slope, ditching along the edge, but the sensitivity areas along the margins of the road will still be examined and should artifacts or sites be found, will continue examination as the location suggests is appropriate.

Q When would that happen?

- A (Bunker) That would happen when we do our Phase 1-B studies on the locally maintained roads. I don't have a date.
- Q Okay. And is that because you need the Site

 Evaluation Committee to give you a condition

 that says you have to do the 1-B analysis or -
 I mean, is it the same problem as Clarksville

1 where you can't get permission from the town? 2 (Bunker) This is the Clarksville/Stewartstown Α 3 leg of the journey. Yes. I might add, however, that we also did Phase 1-A and Phase 1-B on a 4 5 series of off right-of-way access roads. That 6 has successfully been completed. 7 Q Okay. All right. So assume that the Project gets sited and we make it a condition that you 8 9 do 1-B analysis in this area and you discover 10 that there are human remains in the area that 11 needs to be excavated to install the Project. 12 Α (Bunker) yes. 13 0 What happens? 14 (Bunker) At that point, I would submit my Α findings for review to New Hampshire Division of 15 Historic Resources and enter into consultation 16 17 for them to look for guidance. 18 What do --Q 19 (Bunker) What does that mean. Α 20 What would you expect them to do with the 0 No. information. What typically happens when this 21 22 sort of thing is discovered? 23 Α (Bunker) Well, there would be more a request for 24 more in-depth search into the actual burial of

these individuals to make a closer assessment of where they're most likely to be. There are field methods that could be employed involving deeper excavation perhaps with mechanical equipment. Some people are in favor of using ground penetrating radar to identify anomalies. Anomalies are not necessarily human remains or even coffins. They sometimes can be boulders or other natural features. And depending on those results and the recommendations of the experts that run that machinery, we could develop a strategy to explore the anomalies subsurface.

However, also, having said that, if we identify where interments are, we could then invoke the 25-foot buffer and avoid them all together and leave them where they are.

- Q Well, if they're right in the path of the Project, how could you do that?
- A (Bunker) That would be difficult, if not impossible. I don't know the answer.
- Q Okay. If you had been permitted by the town to do the Phase 1-B analysis and you discovered a likelihood of human remains right in the path of the Project, what would your advice have been?

```
1
      Α
           (Bunker) Just what I told you. To consult with
 2
           Division of Historic Resources and develop the
 3
           best method to treat the discovery as thoroughly
 4
           and respectfully and the best way possible.
 5
          And does the history of the remains, who they
      0
 6
           are, make a difference sometimes?
           (Bunker) No.
 7
      Α
           Would you treat a Civil War hero different than
 8
      Q
 9
           you'd treat a farmer?
10
      Α
           (Bunker) Absolutely not.
11
      Q
           If you can't move the Project and the remains
12
           are there, is that an unreasonable adverse
13
           effect?
14
           (Bunker) If you can't move the Project and the
      Α
           remains are there, we would consider removing
15
16
           and moving the remains.
17
           Okay. How long does that take? You don't know.
      Q
18
           (Bunker) I don't really know. It depends on
      Α
19
           soil conditions and such. But it does not have
20
           to take decades.
21
          A year?
      0
22
      Α
           (Bunker) No.
23
           Okay.
      0
24
               MR. WAY: Commissioner Bailey?
```

COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Yes.

QUESTIONS BY MR. WAY:

- Q So back to her previous point. You say you'd consider moving the remains. Which kind of means you'd consider wanting us to give you permission to move the remains, as I understand it, but why wouldn't that be an unreasonable effect? It seems like you kind of skirted around that. Wouldn't that be considered unreasonable if you had human remains right in the pathway?
- A (Bunker) Not necessarily. If they can, if the effect can be mitigated through archeological methods, then the effect has been remedied, if you will.
- Q So if you have a resting place. That's not necessarily a resting place in this condition.
- A (Bunker) I'm a tiny bit confused.
- Q Well, like, for example, if you had a historical property, you wouldn't move the historical property. Am I making sense at all?
 - A (Bunker) Not quite -- I'm not sure.
 - Q We have a resting place here. And I understand what you say. Obviously, minimization and

```
1
           avoidance, you're going to try to go around it,
 2
           but to her point if you can't go around it, why
           isn't that an unreasonable adverse effect?
 3
           (Bunker) Because it could be mitigated.
 4
      Α
 5
           I quess. All right. Thank you.
      0
 6
           (Bunker) You're welcome.
      Α
 7
               COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I think that's all I
 8
           have. Thank you.
 9
      Α
           (Bunker)
                     Thank you.
10
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Mr. Iacopino,
11
           I think you have some questions.
12
      QUESTIONS BY MR. IACOPINO:
13
           First of all, Ms. Widell, nothing to do with
      0
14
           this case, but when you worked at the Naval
15
          Academy in 2000.
16
      Α
           (Widell) Yes.
17
          You did not recommend any changes to the Herndon
      Q
18
           monument, did you?
19
          (Widell) No.
      Α
20
           Okay. Thank you. All right.
                                          There is an
      0
21
           August 25th, 2017, letter from the DHR to the
22
           Committee. That letter terms the identification
23
          process as being close to complete.
24
           (Widell) Yes.
      Α
```

1 I think you used the term substantially complete 0 2 or essentially complete during your testimony 3 here over the last few days. Are there differences between those two terms as far as 4 5 you're concerned? 6 (Widell) No. Α So you believe that you and the DHR are on the 7 Q same page with respect to the status of the 8 9 identification of the Historic Resources? 10 Α (Widell) Yes. We agree with the list of 11 inventoried properties that are attached to that 12 August 25th letter, yes. And they're also waiting, I believe there are a 13 0 14 few forms they are waiting on, and, of course, 15 the cultural landscapes? 16 (Widell) Yes. Α 17 Okay. So you don't see, with respect to the Q 18 aboveground, you don't see any difference 19 between what they're saying and what your 20 position is with respect to the identification 21 process? 22 Α (Widell) No. 23 Ms. Bunker with respect to archeological, do you 0 24 agree with that as well? I think that term in

1 the letter "close to completion" addressed both 2 aboveground and below ground identification. 3 Α (Bunker) I agree. So and I think you've told us a little bit about 4 0 5 what needs to be done, and I guess the one 6 question that I have is with the aboveground, I kind of think I understand where identification 7 stops and effects assessment begins. I'm not 8 9 quite sure with you. Is 1-A considered to be 10 the identification process? 11 Α (Bunker) Phase 1 combined A and B identify. 12 Phase 1 identifies areas, Phase 1-A, excuse me, 13 identifies areas of sensitivity. It can also 14 identify known archeological sites from archival 15 sources, and it can also identify new 16 archeological sites that are visible on the 17 ground surface. This might be a cellar hole, 18 for example. Phase 1-B confirms site presence 19 in the subsurface context through excavation. 20 So at the end of Phase 1, the sites are 21 identified. 22 Q So there are still some sites then that are 23 pending Phase 1-B analysis. Are there any that 24 are still pending Phase 1-A?

1 (Bunker) Phase 1-A is complete. Α 2 Okay. So there are some that are pending Phase Q 3 1-B and you just discussed one of the issues 4 that you're having, you can't get the town to 5 agree to allow you on the property to do the 6 excavation? 7 Α (Bunker) Yes. Okay. I guess this question then goes to both 8 Q 9 of you, and it's a very comprehensive broad 10 question, but is everything that the Applicant 11 is supposed to have submitted in the 106 12 process, has it been submitted now to either the 13 DOE or the DHR, whatever state agency involved 14 in the 106 process is supposed to receive it? 15 Α (Widell) The properties that you mentioned will 16 be submitted, yes, within the next two weeks, 17 and that's paperwork and also the Effects 18 Tables, and yes, that would make everything 19 submitted, yes, in the next two weeks, yes. 20 My understanding was the Effects Tables have Q 21 been submitted. Am I wrong about that? 22 Α (Widell) Not all of them. They are still, some 23 are still being completed for the underground 24 portion of the Project.

1 That's right. And you said that's two Q Okay. 2 weeks? 3 Α (Widell) Yes. That's my understanding, yes. And those ones are no different than the tables 4 0 5 you've already provided. They go to DOE first 6 and then DOE invites DHR to issue their comments 7 on them? 8 Α (Widell) Yes. And other than the Effects Tables for the 9 0 10 underground section, is there anything else 11 other than that and, of course, the cultural 12 landscapes that are pending? 13 Α (Widell) Not to my knowledge, no. 14 Have those cultural landscape reports actually Q 15 gone over to DOE yet or are they still somewhere 16 in between? 17 (Widell) Yes, they have except for one that is Α 18 being delivered -- tomorrow's Friday. 19 This is maybe too deep into the weeds, but why 0 is there sort of the hesitation in terms of, 20 21 where is it going once you're done with it? 22 mean, I figured you would be the last person 23 before it goes to DOE? 24 (Widell) I am. I just reviewed the cultural Α

1 landscape report that will be delivered Friday 2 so if you heard, yesterday, so if you hear my hesitation that is all completed, and now it 3 will be up to the consultant to forward it to 4 5 Department of Energy on Friday. So that's what 6 you heard in my voice. I was going, let me 7 think, I just looked at that yesterday, and it's going to be submitted Friday. 8 9 When you say the consultant, you mean yourself? 0 10 Α (Widell) No. In this case, the consultant that 11 did the cultural landscape reports is the Public 12 Archeology Lab. You may remember that I talked, 13 that there have been 7 consultants working on 14 this material, and I have worked with all of 15 them. 16 So you're waiting for their staff to actually Q 17 put the stamp on it or however it gets filed 18 with DOE? 19 (Widell) Yes. Α 20 To undertake that next step? 0 21 (Widell) Yes. Α 22 Okay. And typically, you may have been asked Q 23 this question but I may have missed the answer. How long does it typically take for the DOE once 24

1 they've received these things to issue them to 2 the SHPO and invite the comments? 3 Α (Widell) I'm sorry. I can't --4 0 Well, you've been a SHPO. I think you might 5 have an idea of what the typical timeframes are. 6 (Widell) Normally, normally, that would be done Α 7 in 30 days in my experience as SHPO of California. Once they have the materials, they 8 9 have a responsibility to turn around rather 10 quickly. 11 Q Little more of the sort of getting into the 12 weeds. Ms. Widell, when you were questioned by 13 the representative, I forget, from Bridgewater, 14 you discussed that you visited the sites both in leaf on and leaf off conditions? 15 16 Α (Widell) Yes. 17 Is that the case for all of the affected sites? Q 18 (Widell) Pretty much all of them. Α I'm sure 19 there are some that I did not get to either 20 during winter or summer but yes, pretty much, 21 Especially ones where there was any 22 possibility that there could actually be a visual adverse effect. I made sure that I went 23 24 to those properties and viewed them personally.

1 I did travel the entire route, but there would 2 be some that I would maybe not have stopped at in leaf in and leaf off. 3 4 That's what I'm getting at. When you say you 0 5 viewed them personally, you mean you viewed them 6 personally once when the vegetation was on and 7 once when the vegetation was off or once all together? 8 9 Α (Widell) No. More often I wanted to see these 10 properties when the vegetation was off. 11 Q Okay. So it wouldn't be correct then to assume 12 from your answer that you went to each of these sites two times. 13 14 (Widell) No. It would not be correct that I Α 15 went to every single one two times. More likely 16 those that were likely to have a visual adverse 17 effect I would have made sure that I had gone to 18 in leaf on and leaf off. 19 But that would be two times then. 0 (Widell) Yes, it would be two times. 20 Α I'm sorry 21 if I'm confusing it. It's 3:30 or that time 22 when you begin to get tired. Forgive me. 23 Okay. I think part of the reason why some of 0 24 the questions that we hear and some of the

concerns that you hear are expressed is because you come from a discipline where it is essentially the consultative process that rules the day. And there is no permit that is granted. There's no up or down vote at the end of the day. Site Evaluation Committee has a requirement to make an up or down vote, and they have to consider historic sites in that context and determine whether or not there's an unreasonable adverse effect on historic sites.

Have you ever, either of you, ever provided opinions in a permitting situation like that in the past where there's going to be a determination as to whether it's adverse or an unreasonable adverse impact and that makes the difference in the granting of a certificate or a permit?

A (Widell) Yes. Let me state that clearly in that
I have not applied the unreasonable adverse
effect before in a particular situation, but I
have spent a good part of my career, and I think
it's on my resume, initially working with
Historic District Commission Landmark
Commissions in Maryland and then in many places

1 throughout the country as training commissions 2 how to make findings of fact in their decisions 3 as to whether they were going to allow a 4 demolition of a property or a change to historic 5 property. Of course, some of these are in very 6 small communities, but they are also in larger communities like Annapolis and Baltimore and so 7 that is a permitting situation, and in Maryland 8 9 that permit is not advisory to a Planning 10 Commission or a mayor or council. It actually 11 has to be appealed to the Circuit Court in 12 Maryland. 13 0 So when you train those people and when you 14 participate in those proceedings, how do you recommend that the decider make that decision in 15 16 terms of, I mean, I assume you still are using 17 all the 106 language and field, but then they have to make an up or down decision. What do 18 19 you recommend to those boards or those commissions either as a litigant or as a trainer 20 21 as to how they go about that? 22 Α (Widell) It is based on their guidelines and 23 usually when you're looking at changes to a

historic property or a portion of the historic

24

1 district where buildings are going to be 2 demolished or there's going to be new 3 construction, you are looking at what are called 4 the Secretary of Interior standards that give 5 you recommended and not recommended actions for 6 how to protect the character of a historic Those words should sound familiar 7 property. because that is always the goal if you are going 8 9 to care for historic properties that you're protecting that which makes it a historic 10 property. Does that help? Did I answer your 11 12 question? 13 0 Well, I guess, I'm going to figure out if you 14 answered it in a second with the next question 15 is that you, you seem to rely on a set of 16 standards. 17 (Widell) Yes. Α 18 And you recommend to that committee. So I take Q 19 it in this proceeding, it would be most 20 appropriate for this Committee to rely on those 21 rules that it's promulgated on what to consider 22 in determining whether or not there's an 23 unreasonable adverse impact on historic site. (Widell) Yes. 24 Α

1	Q	Ms. Bunker, I'm going to ask you the same
2		questions. Do you have any type of experience
3		like that where your either participation or
4		involvement is in a process where there's an up
5		or down vote or a granting or denial of relief?
6	A	(Bunker) Well, I'm not exactly sure because my
7		work has filtered up lines. However, I have
8		worked on numerous types of construction
9		projects. I've worked on many highway projects,
10		I've worked on gas pipeline projects. I don't
11		know the permitting processes for those. But if
12		they apply, I have worked on those. Sorry to be
13		vague.
14	Q	No. No I understand. I understand where, I
15		mean, your work is going to go through other
16		people. I understand that.
17		Ms. Widell, did you identify any site in
18		your study for this Project that you considered
19		to be historic but did not qualify for National
20		Registry eligibility?
21	A	(Widell) Yes. Generally, cemeteries are not
22		eligible for the National Register unless they
23		have particular importance and artistic design
24		or can be directly related to the understanding

1 of a community. Burial sites of individuals 2 generally are not considered eligible for the 3 National Register. So yes, we did include 4 those. I know we also looked at a property 5 named Crystal Spring in Plymouth. 6 inventory form was completed and was found not 7 eligible for the National Register, and I believe DHR, and once it is not then it's not, 8 9 you can't apply the definition of an adverse 10 effect on the property that doesn't have 11 integrity. The reason why it wasn't, it didn't 12 have integrity. Let me break that down into the two categories 13 0 14 With respect to the cemeteries, those are then. 15 the cemeteries that you discussed with Ms. 16 Weathersby, is that correct? Or prior 17 questioner? 18 (Widell) Just generally. We included cemeteries Α 19 wherever we went that we found within the APE. 20 Some were included in the Assessment Forms where 21 they may have characteristics that could be 22 affected by visual effects from the Project. 23 So with respect to Crystal Spring then, which 0 24 DHR says not eligible, and, therefore, it's out

of the consideration, do you have an opinion as 1 2 to whether there's an adverse effect on that 3 particular site even though it's not eligible for the National Register? 4 5 (Widell) No, because the reason it wasn't Α 6 eligible, it didn't have integrity, and it is hard to identify an adverse effect on a historic 7 property that doesn't have integrity, meaning it 8 doesn't have significance in its features that 9 10 embody historic importance. Okay. As a layperson what I hear you saying is 11 Q 12 it's not really historic. That's kind of what I 13 hear you saying. And is that your opinion with 14 respect to Crystal Spring? 15 Α (Widell) Yes. 16 In 1969, this month actually, which would Q 17 be one year, when you use your cutoff date, 18 okay? There was a very large concert in upstate 19 New York. 20 Um-hum. Α 21 Place called Woodstock. Okay? This is probably 0 22 a very historical event, all right? But it's 23 not 50 years old, and I know that it's been put on the National Register since. But if that 24

were over here, something like that were over here in New Hampshire, the question, I guess, is is that something that I think most people would consider to be a historic place? Maybe not everybody, not my father, but everybody who's younger than him would consider that to be a historic place, and probably because of age, because of its youth wouldn't maybe qualify or be nominated for the National Register yet.

Do you think that the analysis that you've done is comprehensive enough to include a place like that?

- A (Widell) Yes. As professionals in the field we would always consider certainly something like Woodstock, but in more serious, there is actually a category. You've heard us just talking about Criteria A, B, C and D and that A and C are the ones.
- O Correct.

A (Widell) There is a category that's G, and it is very precisely outlined, and it is for properties of exceptional significance which is what Woodstock, and there are others, American band stand in Philadelphia decades ago was

1 listed on the National Register. Dulles Airport 2 is another example, and they don't have to be 3 huge things like that either. So you are always 4 keeping that in mind. Seeing importance in 5 mid-century, excuse me, mid-century modern 6 residences and houses, and we were particularly paying attention to that because they have 7 picture windows and views were a very important 8 9 part of the architectural style of mid-century 10 modern houses so we really thought very 11 carefully about that, too. 12 But none of that seems to have appeared in your 0 13 assessment? I mean I didn't see, maybe it is 14 and maybe I've overlooked it. I didn't see any 15 mid-century modern house or I didn't see like 16 something, tallest building ever built in the 17 State of New Hampshire. Something that to a 18 layperson we might consider to be fairly 19 historic. Is it just because those things 20 weren't in the study area? 21 (Widell) No. There were a number of mid-century Α 22 modern ranch houses in the area of potential 23 effect, and they are listed in the data table for that, but they were not in that area 24

```
1
           affected by the Project.
 2
           They're identified but not affected.
      0
 3
      Α
           (Widell) That's correct.
           Okay. Ms. Bunker, I have one question. You've
 4
      0
 5
           mentioned that there would be an archeological
 6
           compliance policy, I think you called it, at the
 7
           site that would be followed by construction
 8
           crews and whatnot after they were trained?
 9
      Α
           (Bunker) Yes.
10
           Is that an individual type of policy or is that
      0
11
           like a form that's used for every construction,
12
           every construction job?
13
      Α
           (Bunker) The plan is to create a document that's
14
           pertinent to this Project. So it's not a
15
           boilerplate form. Although it could have sort
16
           of, you know, a tailgate checklist to it, it
17
           will be created specifically for this Project.
18
           And it's not yet been created, correct?
      Q
19
           That's correct.
      Α
20
           When in the process do you normally complete
      0
21
           that or is that normally prepared?
22
      Α
           (Bunker) It's completed with, I don't know if I
23
           should say the word out loud, the Programmatic
24
           Agreement.
```

```
1
      0
          Okay. So is that one of the subsequent
 2
          agreements that the Programmatic Agreement
          anticipates?
 3
           (Bunker) Correct.
 4
      Α
 5
          I'm not afraid of the Programmatic Agreement.
      0
 6
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Save it for
 7
          the rest of us.
          Sorry, guys. All right. And finally, I guess
 8
      Q
 9
          you've probably been asked this question, Ms.
10
          Widell a number of different ways, but I'm going
11
          to ask it straight up. You make this
12
          determination based on the overall Project not
13
          being unreasonably adverse to historic sites,
14
          and you say that you don't have a formula for
15
          that, there wasn't an algorithm you used, there
          wasn't an enumerator or denominator, but you ask
16
17
          us to essentially, I quess, put our trust in
18
          your experience. Is that pretty much the basis,
19
          the sole basis for your determination of there
20
          being no unreasonable adverse effect, that's
          based on your experience?
21
22
      Α
           (Widell) No. I would also remind and display
23
          and give you urgence to look at the
24
          extraordinary amount of work and documentation
```

that has been completed for this Project.

First, before we submitted, at the time we submitted the Application, we had looked at 1,284 properties and gone through the process of identifying very conservatively what could possibly be a historic site that could be affected by this Project. And then finding initially the 12 adverse effect and then using as well the Project Area Form that was completed by another set of eyes of identifying what was significant locally and contextually through the Protect Area Forms.

And then another entire set of information put together on the inventory forms that DHR requested, and then another entire set of Effects Tables, very carefully following the language that is in the federal law for determining adverse effect.

Yes, I have decades of experience, and I truly hope that my eyes and information and words are helpful in you all coming to a thoughtful decision, but the documentation as well speaks for itself, and I would really encourage you to consider that as well.

1 So if I can just put that in a shorter --0 2 Sorry if I went too long. Α -- shorter explanation. Well, because I think 3 0 the Committee is going to want to understand. 4 5 So basically you're saying yes, you have to 6 trust my judgment, but my judgment is informed 7 by this comprehensive base of work? (Widell) Yes. 8 Α 9 I don't have any other questions. 10 QUESTIONS BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 11 0 Referring to the work that the Department of 12 Energy has done in the analysis of the route, is 13 it your understanding that DOE assumes that the 14 line where it's buried will be buried in the middle of the road? 15 16 (Widell) I don't know what the DOE would assume, Α 17 but as far as assessment of historic properties 18 that is what was established as Alternative 7 in 19 the Final Environmental Impact Statement so yes. 20 0 So if in fact the route is expected to be on the 21 side of the road, or off of the roadway, does 22 the work need to be redone? 23 (Widell) I want to clarify which work. You mean Α 24 the assessment of historic properties? If it is

1 outside of the area of potential effect, yes, if 2 there are properties that haven't been considered. I don't think that would be very 3 4 many. But yes, if there is a change in the 5 location of the Project --6 The change is feet. 0 That's -- yes. So that's why I think 7 Α Yeah. when you're identifying features adjacent to a 8 9 roadway, you're looking roughly at 20 feet, but 10 if something, as I said, is a couple feet out 11 and that, we would include that as well. If it 12 were a stone wall, you'd be looking at not just the 20 feet of the stone wall, you'd be looking 13 14 at all of it, and we would be avoiding a feature 15 like that anyway. 16 Dr. Bunker, if that would change where digging Q 17 took place to build the road, would additional 18 work need to be done on your end? 19 (Bunker) No. We looked at, we looked at our Α 20 study area cold; in other words, without 21 knowledge of placement of the route. Therefore, 22 we looked at the entire APE. We considered it 23 as our study universe. We did our sampling 24 And it makes no difference to our, our there.

1 results, doesn't matter.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Q Okay. That's all I have. Any member of the Subcommittee have any further questions for the panel? Mr. Oldenburg?

QUESTIONS BY MR. OLDENBURG:

- I just want to close the loop on our APE 0 discussion before. So I found the reference in the Final EIS. And it basically says that the DOE -- and I will speak slowly -- used a conservative approach for considering the width of the work space that would be needed for the roadway burial for those alternatives that is consistent with the DOE's analysis for all alternatives for this Project. DOE has determined that a 20-foot wide work space from the edge of payment would be the width of the direct APE for roadway burial for alternatives 2, 3, 5 A, 5 C, and 7 which was the selected one. So my inference from that is that DOE set the 20 feet?
- 21 A (Widell) Yes.
 - Q But my also understanding is that they used the plans or a plan which was under the road, sort of what the Chairman's question was, and since

1 we don't have the latest set of plans, the last 2 set of plans that we've seen and I'm assuming that DOE has seen is for the line to be under 3 the road. So the 20 feet would be conservative 4 5 if the line is under the road. It might not be 6 so conservative if the line isn't under the 7 road, correct? 8 Α (Widell) Yes. 9 Okay. That's all I have. 0 10 COMMISSIONER BAILEY: Well, can we get an 11 answer from Dr. Bunker on that question? 12 (Bunker) I forgot the question. Α BY MR. OLDENBURG: 13 14 So the line isn't off the road. I think you 0 sort of answered it with the Chairman's 15 16 question. If the line isn't off the road, and 17 outside the APE, you've already studied that is 18 what I got the inference? You studied the area 19 between the road and basically the right-of-way 20 that could be impacted, whether it's outside

A (Bunker) We did study it. If there was a larger deviation, it might warrant a review, but we covered it because we didn't have any plan in

the, a few feet outside the APE or not, correct?

21

22

23

24

1 mind. 2 Okay. Thank you. Q 3 PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Mr. Walker, you have some redirect for the Panel? 4 5 MR. WALKER: Yes. Just very briefly. 6 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WALKER: 9 First question, Ms. Widell, there was some, 0 10 you've talked a lot about how you worked closely 11 with Lynne Monroe and the Preservation Company. 12 A couple days ago Attorney Roth asked you a 13 question at one point why is she not here 14 testifying, and I wanted to follow up a bit on 15 that. Did you during the course of your work 16 with Ms. Monroe, did you share your Prefiled 17 Testimony and your Supplemental Testimony with 18 her? 19 (Widell) Yes. Α 20 And did you also share your opinions with her on 0 this matter? 21 22 Α (Widell) Yes. 23 At any point has she expressed any reservations 0 24 about either your Prefiled Testimony, your

```
1
          Supplemental Testimony, or your opinions in this
 2
          matter?
 3
      Α
           (Widell) No.
          You've asked a lot of, you've been asked a lot
 4
      0
 5
          of questions about the definition of historic
 6
          sites under the SEC rules that were promulgated
          in 2015, and I want to just beat that dead horse
 7
          a bit more. You've explained how you construe
 8
 9
          that definition. Are you aware of any quidance
          from the New Hampshire DHR on that issue?
10
11
      Α
           (Widell) Yes. DHR issued a Policy Memorandum in
12
          January of 2016.
          And just let me just stop you there so the
13
      0
14
          Committee has it before them. That's Exhibit
15
          116, is that right?
           (Widell) Yes. That's it.
16
      Α
17
          Dawn, if you could pull that up, please?
      Q
18
          sorry. I'm looking at the one in front of me.
19
               Help me understand what you take from that
          policy memorandum with regard to the definition
20
21
          of historic sites.
22
      Α
           (Widell) Well, it provides a great deal of
23
          information, but I think for purposes of this
          discussion, under, it's on page 3, at the very
24
```

1 top of the page, V. 2 Hold on one second. Dawn, could you just blow Q 3 up that paragraph B, please? Thank you. (Widell) And I'll just read the first sentence 4 Α 5 slowly. 6 "In New Hampshire, aboveground historic properties meeting the definition at Site 102.23 7 are identified through the preparation and 8 9 submission of area and individual inventory 10 forms." 11 And then the rest of the paragraph goes 12 through, DHR discusses how those inventory forms are then viewed, used to determine whether those 13 14 properties are eligible. So from my 15 professional opinion it appears that they are 16 identifying those properties that are on or 17 eligible as meeting the historic site definition under 102.23. 18 19 And was your identification methodology 0 20 consistent with this approach in that paragraph? 21 (Widell) Yes, but this had not been issued at Α 22 that time so we were cognizant and kept in mind 23 the broadest possible application of what could

be considered under the historic site

24

1 definition. 2 I want to turn now to the question of cemeteries Q 3 because you've been asked some questions, and I 4 think you've already answered it, Ms. Widell, 5 just recently that you did address cemeteries 6 when you were identifying historic sites in your 7 analysis? 8 Α (Widell) Yes. 9 How about you, Dr. Bunker? Did you address 0 10 cemeteries in your analysis? 11 Α (Bunker) Yes, I did. 12 How did you do that, just briefly? 0 13 Α (Bunker) Through archival research using town 14 histories, town historical maps, through the New 15 Hampshire old graveyards database, and through 16 actual field inspection, boots on the ground. 17 Dr. Bunker, you were asked some questions I Q 18 think by Mr. Way with regard to mitigation when 19 there is an impact to an archeological site, and 20 I just want to be clear. You've identified four 21 archeologically sensitive sites that could be 22 impacted by this Project; is that right? 23 Α (Bunker) Yes. Archeologically significant 24 sites.

1 I'm sorry. I said sensitive. 0 2 Α (Bunker) That's okay. It's hard to keep sensitivity straight from actual site from 3 actual significance. But these four, and really 4 5 only four for the whole Project, have been 6 evaluated, and I believe are considered eligible for the National Register. 7 Did I hear you testify that the Project is able 8 Q 9 to avoid some of those four? 10 Α (Bunker) Yes. Of the four, I've conversed with 11 design engineers and looked at these four 12 locations, and two of them we're very confident can be avoided. One of them is still under 13 14 discussion. And only one is not avoidable. 15 Unavoidable. That is to say impact cannot be 16 avoided at only one of these sites. 17 And then what happens for that site? Q 18 (Bunker) For that site, we will consult with New Α 19 Hampshire Division of Historic Resources, and in 20 that consultation, we will develop a mitigation That for archeologists is an opportunity 21 22 to continue our in-depth evaluations of the site 23 through continued field investigation and 24 continued research, both contextual research,

1 artifact specific research and answer research 2 It's a more theoretical information questions. gathering endeavor, and we will collect the 3 information from the site. 4 5 A few minutes ago Ms. Bailey asked you what 0 6 would happen if during construction of the Project were you to run into in the underground 7 section some human remains, and I think you 8 9 answered that it may be impossible to avoid. 10 Are you aware of the Project's ability to do 11 directional drilling or horizontal directional 12 drilling? (Bunker) Yes, I am, and I discussed that with 13 Α 14 design engineers several years ago as a 15 potential method. 16 A method in that case where there is a discovery Q 17 of something, if there were a discovery like 18 that that's a method that could be used to 19 avoid? 20 (Bunker) Yes, and I want to point out that when Α 21 we think of archeological sites and we think of 22 areas of potential effect, we're often thinking 23 horizontally. How much of the corridor or the 24 parcel is occupied by the archeological site.

But I also would like to point out that there's a vertical APE. And in the case of a buried occurrence such as this, we would consider that the potential effect is deeper, and we could potentially recommend going below that.

Q We've talked a bit about the Programmatic

Agreement. I just want to make clear for the record that has been uploaded to ShareFile, and it's Exhibit 204.

You were asked some questions, Dr. Bunker, about involvement in the Programmatic Agreement, and I want to ask you if you are aware that the Programmatic Agreement requires a work plan.

A (Bunker) Yes.

- Q And what is the work plan for the Committee's benefit?
- A (Bunker) The work plan, I can only speak from the archeological perspective. The work plan is a document that itemizes steps and methodology for conducting archeological survey for the Project, and these steps and methodologies are accompanied by supporting information such as copies of field recording forms, such as artifact curation policy statements and the

1 I participated actively in this, provided like. 2 text, provided supporting documents, and was 3 involved in the preparation. Ms. Widell, turning to you, there's some 4 0 5 questions about the underground route of the 6 Project. Are you aware that the Project is working with the DOT on the final design of the 7 underground route? 8 9 Α (Widell) Yes. 10 There was some questions from Ms. Weathersby 0 11 with respect to stone walls and how those would 12 be addressed. Do you have, are you aware of how those will be addressed? 13 14 (Widell) Yes. My understanding is that they Α will be avoided. 15 16 We have nothing further. Q 17 PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: All right. 18 think then you ladies are allowed to step down, 19 although there's a possibility that you may be 20 recalled to discuss the Programmatic Agreement 21 should that be necessary. 22 Α (Widell) Thank you, Chairman. 23 PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Μr. 24 Needleman, I think we can probably knock that

```
visual impact witness off before the end
 1
 2
           of the day?
 3
               MR. NEEDLEMAN: Let's get it done.
 4
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Okay.
 5
               MR. NEEDLEMAN: I'd ask Ms. Kimball and
 6
           Mr. DeWan to come on up.
 7
                          (Recess taken)
 8
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG:
 9
           Mr. Needleman.
10
               MR. NEEDLEMAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
11
       (Whereupon, Terrence DeWan and Jessica Kimball were
12
                duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
13
                       TERRENCE DEWAN, SWORN
14
                      JESSICA KIMBALL, SWORN
15
                        DIRECT EXAMINATION
16
      BY MR. NEEDLEMAN:
17
           Could you both please state your names and where
      Q
18
           you work?
19
           (DeWan) My name is Terrence DeWan, and I work in
      Α
20
           Yarmouth, Maine, at Terrence DeWan & Associates.
21
      Α
           (Kimball) And my name is Jessica Kimball.
22
           also work in Yarmouth, Maine, at Terrence DeWan
23
           & Associates.
24
           Could one of you just briefly describe your role
      0
```

```
1
           in this Project?
 2
      Α
           (DeWan) We have been hired to prepare a visual
           impact assessment of the Northern Pass
 3
           Transmission Project.
 4
 5
          And you have in front of you two exhibits.
      0
 6
           first one is Applicant's Exhibit 16. And that
 7
           is your Joint Prefiled Direct Testimony. You
           also have Applicant's Exhibit 92 that is your
 8
 9
           Supplemental Joint Prefiled Testimony. Do you
10
          both see that?
11
      Α
           (DeWan) Yes.
12
          Do either of you have any corrections to either
      0
           one of those documents?
13
14
           (DeWan) No. We don't.
      Α
15
      Α
           (Kimball) No. We don't.
16
           That being the case, do both of you swear to and
      Q
17
           affirm that testimony?
18
      Α
           (Kimball) Yes.
19
           (DeWan) Yes.
      Α
20
          All set, Mr. Chair.
      0
21
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: All right.
22
           Is there anyone here from the Business Group to
23
           ask questions of this Panel or I don't see
24
           anyone else. I think we're hitting up Counsel
```

for the Public then. Ms. Connor? 1 2 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MS. CONNOR: 3 Good afternoon. Thank you for waiting. 4 0 5 (DeWan) We had no other choice. Α 6 My name is Doreen Connor, and I appear today as 0 7 Counsel for the Public and we are going to get through some of the aesthetic cross. 8 9 have any expectation, unfortunately, that we can 10 finish, but we'll do what we can. 11 I want to begin by directing everyone's 12 attention to sort of where we start with regard 13 to VIAs, and when I say VIAs, you understand 14 that I'm referring to your study, correct? 15 Α (DeWan) Visual Impact Assessment. 16 Thank you. Could we pull up Site Rule 301.05? Q 17 Am I correct that site Rule 301.05 governs 18 how Visual Impact Assessments must be prepared 19 with respect to proceedings before this body? 20 Α (DeWan) That is our understanding. 21 And so we're in agreement that that particular 0 22 rule mandates the methods and procedures that 23 you have to follow in your VIA? 24 (DeWan) That is generally our understanding. Α

1	Q	And am I correct that one of the first
2		requirements of the rule requires you to conduct
3		a computer based visibility analysis to
4		determine all areas of potential visual impact?
5	A	(DeWan) That's one of the first things that we
6		have to do.
7	Q	And with regard to structures and rural areas
8		that requires a study out how many miles?
9	А	(DeWan) Ten miles.
10	Q	And for structures in urban clusters, how many
11		miles?
12	A	(DeWan) As defined by the term "urban clusters,"
13		two miles.
14	Q	Did your visibility analysis consider whether
15		structures were within an urban cluster?
16	A	(DeWan) Yes. We did.
17	Q	And where did you do that?
18	A	(DeWan) The primary place that urban clusters
19		are found is in the town of Concord.
20	Q	Did you do any studies with respect to urban
21		clusters in any towns other than Concord?
22	А	(DeWan) Looking at the map of Concord, I believe
23		there's a small portion of it that may go
24		outside of the boundaries of Concord, but for

1 the most part Concord is where we concentrated 2 the two-mile limit. When you submitted your VIA in October of 2015, 3 Q what radius did you use for your visibility 4 5 analysis? 6 (DeWan) At that point we used a three-mile Α radius from the central line of the Project. 7 (Kimball) To correct, it was a five-mile radius 8 Α 9 in the first round. 10 Then am I correct that in October of 2015 this 0 11 Board was considering draft rules that would 12 require a ten-mile visibility analysis? (DeWan) That is correct. 13 Α 14 And, ultimately, they adopted those rules in Q December of 2015; is that correct? 15 16 (DeWan) That is our understanding. Α 17 And as a result of that, did you have to expand Q 18 your visibility? 19 (DeWan) We did. Α 20 Am I correct that the rules also make it clear 0 21 that you have to do this visibility analysis 22 based on both bare ground conditions? 23 (DeWan) No. That is not our understanding. Α 24 What is your understanding with regard to site 0

```
1
           Rule 301.05 that talks about the fact that
 2
           visibility analysis should be based on both bare
           ground conditions and with consideration of
 3
           screening by vegetation?
 4
 5
           (Kimball) 301.05(b)(4) is the section of the
      Α
 6
           rules that describes the computer-based
           visibility analysis, and it discusses the radius
 7
           that we talked about, but there isn't any
 8
 9
           reference to vegetation or bare earth conditions
10
           in that definition or description of the work.
11
      Q
           Can we go back to (b)(1), Sandie, and can you
12
           blow it up so it's actually legible? (b)(1)?
13
                I've blown up 301.05(b)(1), and doesn't
14
           this rule require an analysis both on bare
           ground as well as screening?
15
16
           (DeWan) We do not believe so.
      Α
17
           Okay. And so we're clear, then you chose to
      Q
18
           avoid the bare ground analysis?
19
           (DeWan) No, we did not. We submitted a bare
      Α
           ground analysis at another point.
20
21
           But not initially.
      0
22
      Α
           (DeWan) Not initially.
23
           And you did not initially because it was your
      0
24
           interpretation that (b)(4) trumped (b)(1)?
```

1 Α (Kimball) (b)(4) is the description of what a 2 computer-based visibility analysis is. That is the section of the rules that describes what a 3 visibility analysis is composed of. 4 5 Well, if it's your interpretation that a bare 0 6 ground analysis was not required, then why did you do one? 7 (DeWan) We were requested by several people 8 Α 9 during some of the testimony that we attended to 10 produce one. We still do not believe that 11 (b)(1) requires a visibility analysis. I don't 12 believe that the words "visibility analysis" are contained in (b)(1). 13 14 In your first report, you identified 525 Q Okay. 15 potential scenic resources within approximately 16 a 900-square-mile Project; is that correct? 17 (DeWan) That is correct. Α 18 Approximately how many months did it take to Q 19 complete that 900-square-mile inquiry? 20 (DeWan) Little bit less than a year and a half. Α 21 It's my understanding that then five months 0 22 later you supplemented your review by 23 identifying all potentially impacted scenic 24 resources ten miles out from each structure. Is

```
1
           that correct?
 2
           (DeWan) That's correct.
      Α
 3
           So to summarize, it took a year and a half to
      0
           inventory 900 square miles, and it took five
 4
 5
           months to inventory an additional 1500 miles.
 6
           Is that correct?
 7
      Α
           (DeWan) That sounds about right.
           So even though you more than doubled the area
 8
      Q
           that you had to investigate, it took a third of
 9
10
           the time.
           (DeWan) And there's a lot of reasons for that.
11
      Α
12
           Well, initially I'm just trying to make sure my
      0
13
           math is right on the timing. Am I correct?
14
           (DeWan) That sounds about right. Yes.
      Α
15
      0
           And when you expanded your study from five miles
16
           to ten miles from each structure, am I correct
17
           that you only come up with an additional, came
18
           up with an additional 72 potentially impacted
19
           scenic resources?
20
           (Kimball) That were located within the APBI.
      Α
21
           That's correct. I don't have the number in
22
           front of me, but I'm trusting you're taking that
23
           from our --
24
      0
           I am.
```

1 (Kimball) Right. Α 2 So 525 in the first five miles and then 72 in 0 the next five miles? 3 (Kimball) The 525 initially identified includes 4 Α 5 all scenic resources regardless of whether or 6 not they're in the APBI. Okay. Can we pull up definition Site Rule 7 Q 102.45? 8 9 So in addition to defining what is required 10 in a VIA, the SEC rules also define scenic 11 resources; do they not? 12 Α (DeWan) That is correct. And I have in front of me that definition? 13 0 14 (DeWan) I see it on the screen. Yes. Α 15 0 Okay. Good. The first aspect of this 16 definition is that a scenic resource must be one 17 to which the public has a legal right of access. 18 Is that correct? 19 (DeWan) That is correct. Α 20 And then the other aspect of the definition is 0 21 that the resource must have a scenic quality or 22 the public needs to have access to a 23 recreational trail park or area maintained with 24 public funds. Are those sort of the several

1 components of this definition? 2 (DeWan) That's correct. Α 3 And the right of legal access is right in the 0 4 beginning, but then we have various categories 5 of scenic resources, right? 6 (DeWan) That's correct. Α Most of those categories of scenic resources 7 Q define a resource that has to have a scenic 8 9 quality, correct? (DeWan) That's correct. 10 Α 11 Q The one exception to that is subsection (d) 12 which talks about recreational trails, parks or 13 areas established, protected or maintained in 14 whole or in part with public funds, that 15 particular subsection doesn't impose a scenic 16 quality definition, does it? 17 (DeWan) It does not appear to. Α 18 Am I correct that the New Hampshire SEC's Q 19 definition of resources that qualify as scenic resources is much broader than what is required 20 21 than under say the Maine Wind Energy Act? 22 Α (DeWan) To some extent it is a little bit 23 broader, yes. 24 And you agreed, I believe, earlier on when we 0

1 started that for these proceedings you were 2 bound to follow the SEC rules. (DeWan) That is correct. 3 Α You understand that your starting point of a 4 0 5 little under 600 scenic resources within a 6 2800-mile area is substantially small in 7 comparison to what Counsel for the Public's 8 expert came up with. (DeWan) That would be an understatement. 9 Α 10 Okay. And you understand that Counsel for the 0 11 Public once they took out for duplication and 12 whatnot that they came up with a little bit more 13 than 7,000 potentially affected scenic 14 resources. 15 Α (DeWan) That's correct. 16 And I take it from looking at your report that Q 17 you didn't review those 7,000 potentially 18 affected scenic resources identified by expert 19 for the public, did you? 20 (DeWan) I do not understand what you mean by Α "didn't review." 21 22 Did you perform any kind of analysis with regard Q 23 to the 7400 scenic resources identified by 24 public expert?

1 (DeWan) First of all, I don't think that it's Α 2 accurate to consider them to be scenic 3 resources. Some of them may be potential scenic 4 resources, but they're simply points or lines of 5 areas on the study area. But we did look at a 6 lot of them. Did you look at all of them? 7 Q (DeWan) We did not look at all 7,000 of them. 8 Α 9 Can you tell this Panel how many of them you 0 10 looked at? 11 Α (DeWan) We looked at the number that we've 12 already identified as the number that we've 13 looked at as part of our study. 14 So, in other words, the 597 that you came up Q 15 with. (DeWan) Plus the additional ones that we looked 16 Α 17 at when we went out ten miles. Actually, 597 includes those. You started with 18 Q 19 525 and then you had 72 additional. (Kimball) We also added additional in April of 20 Α 21 2017 as part of our Supplemental Prefiling. 22 Right. How many was that? Q 23 Α (Kimball) I don't have the numbers in front of 24 me, but it was less than a 100.

```
1
           Wasn't it less than 20?
      0
 2
           (Kimball) There was a number of different
      Α
           methods that we took so there were some based on
 3
           the revised land covered data some based on the
 4
 5
          historic sites. It was certainly more than 20.
 6
           Can we pull up Applicant Exhibit 1, Appendix 17?
      0
 7
           Can you focus in just on the flow chart? We've
           pulled up an exhibit that is from your report.
 8
 9
           Am I correct that this is your methodology
10
           chart?
11
      Α
           (DeWan) That is from our report. That is
12
           correct.
13
      0
           And is this chart a reasonable representation of
14
           the methods and procedures you used to conduct
15
           your VIA?
16
           (DeWan) I think this presents a reasonable
      Α
17
           overview of the process that we went through.
18
           won't say that we followed it exactly step by
                  There was a lot of modification as we
19
20
           went through here, but I think this gives you a
21
           good sense of the process that we went through.
22
      Q
           In the first step, identifying scenic resources,
23
           did you follow the definition that we went
           through a few minutes ago, Site Rule 102.45?
24
```

1 (Kimball) Yes. I would say the only addition Α 2 that was made in April of 2017 was the addition 3 of historic sites that were developed using the DHR's database. 4 5 We talked a little bit that the first element of 0 6 the definition of scenic resource under the 7 SEC's rule requires that the public had a legal 8 right of access to the property, right? 9 Α (DeWan) That's correct. 10 Would you agree that the public has a legal 0 11 right of access to all public roads? 12 Α (DeWan) Yes. 13 0 Do you agree that under in New Hampshire's 14 current use taxation program that when a landowner allows public access to those lands in 15 16 order to qualify for an additional tax break 17 that that would be land to which the public has 18 a legal right of access? 19 Α (DeWan) They do have a legal right of access according to our understanding of that, but we 20 21 do not believe that is the intent of Section 22 102.45 to include those properties because that 23 includes about 60 percent of the land area of 24 the State of New Hampshire.

1 I understand that, but right now I'm just trying 0 2 to figure out whether we agree that public lands 3 that are posted open for purposes of current use taxation, whether you agree that the public has 4 5 a right of access to those lands. 6 (DeWan) I think that might be a legal point, and Α 7 we're certainly not prepared to discuss legalities of it. I know there are some 8 9 conditions placed upon properties that are under 10 that statute. 11 Q What about an example of a conservation area 12 that you discover during field work that has a 13 maintained trail and parking. Would you 14 consider that to be an area to which there is 15 public access? 16 Α (DeWan) Generally, yes. 17 How did you determine how many locations there Q were within a 2800 square mile area to which the 18 19 public had a legal right of access? 20 (Kimball) We had, to begin with, we read Α 21 documents, primarily master plans, material 22 online from various conservation groups, 23 anything that we could come up with that was 24 public documentation that represented parks,

1 conservation areas, lakes, et cetera. 2 used a variety are of different documentation to 3 build up that list to come up with that total number. 4 5 Would you agree that trying to determine how 0 6 many potentially scenic resources there are to 7 which the public has a right of access is somewhat inexact signs? 8 9 Α (DeWan) An inexact science? 10 0 Yes. 11 Α (DeWan) I would hesitate to even call it a 12 science. 13 0 Okay. And that's in part because you just told 14 me that you relied on databases, but there are, 15 am I correct that there are going to be a number 16 of scenic resources to which the public has a 17 right of access that aren't in any database? 18 (Kimball) Well, in addition to databases, we Α 19 would also use master plans. So, in theory, if 20 there was a publicly accessible place that was 21 important to the community, it would be listed 22 within the master plan document. 23 Wouldn't you agree that there may also be scenic 0 24 resources that are important to local

1 communities that aren't in their master plan? 2 (Kimball) I would not agree with that. Α 3 So it's your position that unless the resource 0 4 has been designated by the town in some 5 document, it can never qualify as a scenic 6 resource? 7 Α (DeWan) Not by the town. There are other ways to look at type of resources that might be 8 9 considered scenic resources. iBooks, for 10 example. Online resources. 11 Q But am I correct that that was what you just 12 told me, that it has to be designated by the 13 municipality in some respect? 14 (Kimball) I didn't say that it had to be Α 15 designated by the municipality. Oftentimes in 16 master plans they make a reference to 17 conservation areas, scenic viewsheds, parks. 18 But if a community for some reason hasn't gone Q 19 to that step of either locally designating or 20 recognizing the resource in their master plan, that's an area that a scenic, potential scenic 21 22 resource that you could miss? 23 Α (Kimball) Our research went beyond local master 24 plans.

1 Well, when I asked you to identify all of the 0 2 places that you went to try to determine whether 3 public had access to it, you didn't mention any 4 other sources. 5 (Kimball) I did. Α 6 Okay. You mentioned master plans. 0 7 Α Conservation area groups such as the Bear Paws Conservation Group or the Pemigewassett River 8 9 Group that preserves that area. I don't have 10 them off the top of my head of particular groups 11 that we went to. As Terry mentioned, 12 quidebooks, databases, so all of the lakes that 13 have public accessibility are listed by the 14 So there was a variety of resources. state. Ιt 15 certainly wasn't limited to local master plans. 16 But it was, in fact, limited to published Q 17 materials. 18 (Kimball) Correct. Α 19 And I think what you just told me a minute ago 0 20 that if for some reason a scenic resource didn't 21 appear in a published form, it was likely that 22 the resource was, couldn't be a scenic resource? (DeWan) No. 23 I believe that if we were to find a Α 24 place during the course of our field work that

1 we thought was exceptionally scenic we would 2 have added that to our inventory. 3 So you agree in fact that there are resources Q 4 that could qualify as scenic resources that 5 don't appear in any published database? 6 (Dewan) Not necessarily. I think that we did a Α very good job of looking at every single town 7 along the 192-mile route looking at all 8 9 available information using the best of our 10 professional ability to find resources that have been and would be considered scenic resources. 11 12 We also followed criteria that we've always used 13 as part of our 30 years of experience in doing 14 Visual Impact Assessments. Also looked at the 15 type of research that has been done by other 16 VIAs that have come before the SEC. And we feel 17 that the work that we did is certainly on par 18 with all that work. 19 I'm going to get into the specifics of your Q work, but right now I was asking more about 20 21 theoretical question. I'm simply trying to get 22 an answer to whether you agree or disagree that a scenic resource doesn't have to be identified 23 24 in a published database in order to qualify as a

1 scenic resource. 2 (DeWan) Again, going back to our experience in Α doing these sort of studies over the past three 3 4 decades, you know, I can't think of any resource 5 that we have ever encountered that has not been 6 published in one form or another. Subsection (a), the SEC's definition of 7 Q Okay. scenic resources talks about those that are 8 9 designated by national, state or municipal 10 authorities, and is it fair to characterize that 11 as being perhaps the easiest category of scenic 12 resources to locate? (DeWan) I don't know if they're the easiest to 13 Α 14 locate, but they certainly are well-known. 15 There are not that many that are designated by 16 national authorities. Certainly quite a few by 17 state and municipal authorities. 18 But that's a definition of a scenic resource Q 19 that directs you to a particular database, does 20 it not? 21 Α (DeWan) No. 22 And why is it that it doesn't? Q 23 Α (DeWan) There's no reference to any database in 24 Α.

```
1
           What I'm referring to is the fact that when
      0
 2
           you're trying to figure out whether a particular
 3
           resource is, quote, unquote, "designated," you
 4
           know that you're either going to go to a
 5
           national, state or municipal authority to
 6
           determine what that resource is, correct?
           (DeWan) Generally, that's correct.
 7
      Α
           As opposed to having to do field work to
 8
      Q
 9
           determine whether there are scenic resources out
10
           there in the first instance?
11
      Α
           (DeWan) That's correct.
12
           When we're talking, and am I correct that one of
      0
13
           the designations that you run into under
14
           subsection (a) are Scenic Byways; is that
15
           correct?
16
           (DeWan) That's correct. Both state and
      Α
17
           nationally designated Scenic Byways.
18
           And even locally designated, correct?
      Q
19
           (DeWan) No. There's no locally designated
      Α
20
           Scenic Byways.
21
           You're right. Locally designated roads.
      0
           (DeWan) Locally designated scenic roads.
22
      Α
23
                 When we're dealing with scenic roads,
      0
           Yes.
24
           regardless of whether they're designated by
```

```
1
           national, state or municipalities, am I correct
 2
           that the designation deals with the view from
 3
           the particular road as opposed to the road
           itself?
 4
 5
      Α
           (DeWan) No.
 6
           So it's your opinion then that the paved portion
      0
           of the road has some scenic quality to it?
 7
           (DeWan) I think you've changed the question
 8
      Α
 9
           here. You asked is the view from the road what
10
           makes it scenic, and I, for example, in many of
11
           the state scenic and cultural byways, it's to
12
           quide people through parts of the state that
           have both a scenic, historic, cultural, natural
13
14
           experience.
15
      Q
           I'm talking about Scenic Byways, not cultural
16
           byways.
17
           (DeWan) I believe that's one and the same
      Α
18
           definition in the State of New Hampshire.
19
           Would you agree when we're talking about byways
      Q
20
           that are designated for a scene as opposed to
21
           directing you into a particular area of town
22
           that the designation is directed at the view as
23
           opposed to the road itself?
24
      Α
           (DeWan) No.
```

1 Why not? 0 2 Α (DeWan) I understand the Scenic Byways program 3 is to encourage tourism along these fairly lengthy and very often interconnected roadways, 4 5 and it exposes travelers to a variety of 6 different cultural, historic, scenic locations. And part of the publicity that goes along with 7 it is an identification of some of those scenic, 8 9 cultural, historic, natural sites along the way. 10 And when those Scenic Byways have lookout stops, 0 11 at those particular lookout spots, is the public 12 being directed to look at the road or at the view that's off in the distance? 13 14 (DeWan) First of all, there's very few lookout Α 15 spots, and I don't think "lookout spots" is a 16 term that's used by the Scenic Byways program. 17 There are a couple. Let's talk the Route 2 18 There is no direction overlook in Lancaster. 19 It's just a paved parking lot. there. 20 public has the ability to look in one direction 21 to the White Mountains, another direction to the 22 Christie easement, another direction to a 23 campground.

And when that public person is stopping at that

24

0

1 overlook and looking out at the view, they don't 2 need to have access to what it is that they are 3 looking at in order for them to be looking at 4 the scenic resource, do they? 5 (DeWan) No. They have to have visual access, Α 6 not physical access. Correct. And that visual access that the public 7 Q needs to have to the scenic resource out in the 8 9 distance is the same type of visual access that 10 an individual would need to have along any New 11 Hampshire public road, correct? 12 Α (DeWan) That's correct. In addition to designated scenic resources, the 13 0 14 rule then goes on to talk about conservation 15 lands or easements that possess a scenic quality 16 as another subcategory of scenic resources, 17 correct? 18 Α (DeWan) Category B. 19 And that requires a determination about 0 20 whether those lands possess a scenic quality 21 which is also a specifically defined term of 22 art, correct? 23 Α (DeWan) I do not, I would not use the word 24 specifically.

1 Okay. Subsection (b) talks about conservation 0 2 land or easements that possess a scenic quality. 3 Α (DeWan) That's what I see, yes. 4 0 Okay. So I don't, am I correct then that these 5 lands, these settlement areas must possess a 6 scenic quality? 7 Α (DeWan) That's what it says. Okay. And what's the definition of a scenic 8 Q 9 quality? 10 Α (DeWan) It's stated 102.44. 11 0 And what's the definition of a scenic quality? 12 Α (DeWan) To read it, quote, "scenic quality," 13 unquote, means a reasonable person's perception 14 of the intrinsic beauty of land forms, water 15 features, or vegetation in the landscape as well 16 as any visible human additions or alterations to 17 the landscape. 18 And that definition in terms of items that may Q 19 have a scenic quality is written in such a way 20 that it is alternatives. It can be intrinsic 21 beauty of land form or water features or 22 vegetation; is that correct? (DeWan) That's right. Those are three of the 23 Α 24 four things that you normally look at to define

```
1
           the landscape.
 2
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Off the
 3
           record.
                   (Discussion off the record)
 4
 5
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: We're going
 6
           to take a ten-minute break.
 7
                 (Recess taken 3:42 - 3:56 p.m.)
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Ms. Connor.
 8
 9
           You may continue.
10
               MS. CONNOR:
                             Thank you.
11
      BY MS. CONNOR:
12
           I'm going to continue on here with scenic
      0
           resources under Rule 102.45. That rule also
13
14
           talks about the fact that all lakes that possess
15
           a scenic quality are scenic resources so long as
16
           the public has a legal right of access; is that
17
           correct?
18
           (DeWan) That's what it says, yes.
      Α
19
           Okay. And you are aware, are you not, that in
      0
20
           New Hampshire all natural bodies of fresh water
21
           situated in the state with an acreage of more
22
           than 10 acres are held in public trust by the
23
           state for public use?
           (DeWan) That's correct.
24
      Α
```

1 So you would agree with me that those bodies of 0 2 water are bodies to which the public has access? A legal right of access? 3 4 Α (DeWan) I think that's generally my 5 understanding. 6 And, therefore, if those bodies of water to 0 which the public has access also possess a 7 scenic quality, then they would qualify as a 8 9 scenic resource. 10 (DeWan) That's correct. If they were so Α 11 designated as having scenic, a scenic quality. 12 Well, in fact, they don't have to be designated 0 13 as having scenic quality. They simply have to 14 have it, correct, under subsection (c)? 15 Α (DeWan) Well, again, as a frame of reference, as 16 you mentioned before, I've done a lot of work in 17 Maine over the years, and one of the things that 18 differentiates the Maine experience from the New Hampshire experience is the fact that in Maine 19 20 all the lakes and all the ponds have been rated 21 for scenic quality. That is not the case here. 22 So we have a certain frame of reference when we 23 come into a situation like this. We know how at 24 least one other state looks at scenic quality

for things like lakes and ponds. And so when we 1 2 look at a body of water, we have a certain 3 mindset that perhaps not all lakes are equally 4 scenic, that there may be some factors that make 5 some lakes more scenic than others. 6 I understand that you would certainly come to 0 7 the Project with your own set of experiences. But in terms of applying the national rules 8 9 which we have all agreed govern this particular 10 proceeding, a lake or pond in New Hampshire to which the public has a right of access qualifies 11 12 as a scenic resource so long as it has a scenic 13 quality and it doesn't have to be designated to 14 have a scenic quality, correct? 15 Α (DeWan) Well, the term scenic quality from our 16 way of looking at it is somewhat ambiguous. 17 Scenic quality can mean a lot of different 18 things to different people. The word scenic is 19 an adjective. If you use the term scenic 20 overlook, for example, when you get to a scenic 21 overlook you expect to see some pretty memorable If you look at a scenic river or a 22 scenery. 23 scenic byway, you're not looking at your 24 ordinary street. So to us, the word scenic is

1 really a way of looking at a place, an area, a 2 viewpoint that has an elevated sense of scenery 3 beauty, of intrinsic beauty. 4 0 Well, would you agree with me that when the SEC 5 adopted their rules they made a distinction 6 between scenic resources that carry a 7 designation and those that simply have a scenic quality. Those are two very different things, 8 9 are they not? (DeWan) That's correct. 10 Α 11 Q And unlike those that are specifically 12 designated which is subsection (a), when we get down to subsection (c), the lakes and ponds and 13 14 rivers simply need to possess a scenic quality. 15 They do not need to be designated by anybody, 16 correct? 17 (DeWan) That's one way of looking at it. Α 18 Okay. You did not evaluate the potential visual Q 19 impact on all of the lakes with potential 20 visibility within ten miles of this Project, did 21 you? 22 Α (DeWan) We, for all the lakes we visited most of 23 Those that were within 3 to 5 miles we them. 24 evaluated them. Those that were beyond five

1 miles I think for the most part had no 2 visibility. 3 Sir, my question was, you did not evaluate the Q potential visual impact on all lakes within ten 4 5 miles of this Project, did you? 6 (DeWan) I do not believe that's true. I believe Α we did evaluate potential visual impact on all 7 lakes. 8 9 Within ten miles. 0 10 Α (DeWan) Within ten miles. 11 Q Then where were the ratings for that work 12 because they were not part of discovery. (Kimball) The identification of all lakes and 13 Α 14 ponds were made and the, within three miles of 15 the Project the rating norms were provided. 16 So we have rating forms for all of these ponds Q 17 within three miles but not within ten miles? 18 (DeWan) There is some that we did an evaluation Α 19 I perhaps misstated before when I said we 20 evaluated every one of them. We did, we did 21 look at every pond. We, well, if I could go 22 back and say that once you get beyond a certain 23 distance, the distance factor really enters into 24 the discussion here. I realize that the rules

1 call for an evaluation of property and lakes and 2 resources out to ten miles. At some point you 3 sort of have to ask the question is it really germane to the discussion. 4 5 My question was whether you have evaluated the 0 6 potential visual impact to all lakes within ten miles of the Project. I believe the answer is 7 But I just want to make sure I'm correct. 8 9 Α (DeWan) I believe we have. I don't believe, I 10 don't think that we could supply you with the 11 data form that gives you that evaluation. 12 Well, if you think the answer is yes, then why Q did we get into the discussion that it really 13 14 wasn't germane because you really couldn't see 15 once you get out to a certain number of miles? 16 (DeWan) Because that has to do with whether it's Α 17 going be a visual impact. 18 So we have rating forms for this review within Q 19 three miles, but we don't have any rating forms beyond that? Is that correct? 20 21 (Kimball) If you look to our Supplement that we Α 22 submitted in February of 2016, we make a blanket 23 statement about all resources beyond five miles 24 as having virtually no visibility because of the

1 distance, and any ponds that were identified 2 from three to five miles were addressed in that 3 Supplement. So again, we have rating forms for ponds within 4 0 5 three miles of structures but nothing beyond 6 that? (DeWan) I think that's correct. 7 Α Okay. And you're aware that the rule required 8 Q 9 out to ten miles. 10 Α (Kimball) I don't believe there's anywhere in 11 the rules that suggest that there need to be a 12 rating form, but it does ask that we include them in our inventory and look at the visual 13 14 impact which we did. The rule requires that you look at the visual 15 0 16 impact, the potential visual impact at all lakes 17 and ponds out ten miles, and if you don't supply 18 a rating form beyond three miles, then you 19 effectively preclude cross-examination, don't 20 you? 21 (DeWan) No. I think that as Jessica said Α before, we made a blanket statement that once 22 23 you get beyond a certain distance it's virtually 24 impossible to have a visual impact on a resource

1 that's beyond that distance. 2 So you looked at them within three miles. Q 3 Beyond three miles it's your representation that there was not a potential visual impact. 4 5 (DeWan) That's correct. Α 6 Site Rule 102.45(c) also talks about drives and 0 7 rides that possess a scenic quality; is that 8 correct? 9 Α (DeWan) That's what it says. 10 And again, this is different from those 0 11 designated in subsection (a) because this falls 12 under subsection (c), correct? 13 Α (DeWan) That's correct. 14 And so a scenic drive or a ride under subsection 0 15 (c) requires an evaluation of the scenic quality 16 of that drive and ride. 17 (DeWan) I think what it first demands though is Α 18 a definition of what is a scenic drive and ride. 19 And what is your definition of what a scenic 0 20 drive or ride is with regard to subsection (c)? 21 (DeWan) To us that meant roads that have been Α 22 designated as Scenic Byways at either a national 23 or state level or at a town level as scenic 24 roads.

1 Well, then wouldn't those specifically 0 2 designated byways be included within subsection 3 (a)? (DeWan) There's a lot of places here where 4 Α 5 resources can be part of A through F. 6 But a scenic drive that is not designated can't 0 7 be a scenic resource within A. It can only be a scenic resource within C, right? 8 9 Α (DeWan) It appears that way. 10 And, therefore, scenic drives and rides with the 0 11 scenic quality in subsection (c) are something different than those that are designated in 12 13 subsection (a)? 14 (DeWan) Again, I would have looked to have seen Α a definition of what a scenic drive and ride is. 15 16 I don't know if a ride means a horseback ride. 17 So if you're not sure what that term means which Q 18 is what I think you're telling us right now, how 19 did you determine what scenic drives and rides 20 were within ten miles of this Project with 21 scenic qualities? 22 Α (DeWan) That's one of the reasons we do field 23 What we do is not just data collection work. 24 and research. But it's also getting out and

1		seeing what the landscape looks like and
2		identifying if there are places that may qualify
3		for this ambiguous phrase of scenic drive and
4		ride.
5	Q	Actually, rides has no qualifications whatsoever
6		in this rule other than that it possesses a
7		scenic quality, correct?
8	A	(DeWan) I'm not sure I understand what you're
9		saying there. Are you saying that rides stands
10		alone?
11	Q	Can we pull this up? And zoom in. I don't want
12		to lose scenic quality, but just from the top
13		down to C. Perfect. All right.
14		So under subsection (c), we have scenic
15		drives and rides which has no qualifier in front
16		of it. And the only other requirement for a
17		ride to be a scenic resource is that it must
18		possess a scenic quality and the public has to
19		have access to it, correct?
20	A	(DeWan) That's correct.
21	Q	And there's no real ambiguity because scenic
22		quality is defined by the SEC rules.
23	А	(DeWan) Well, as we said before, we have some
24		issues with the ambiguous nature of the

```
1
           definition.
 2
           All right. And I take it that your concern
      Q
           about the definition of scenic quality is that
 3
           different reasonable people may have different
 4
 5
           opinions about what is a scenic quality.
 6
           that fair?
           (DeWan) I think that my understanding of what a
 7
      Α
           Visual Impact Assessment tries to get at is
 8
 9
           where are the places that have intrinsic beauty,
10
           and, as we know, that may be considered to be in
11
           the eye of the beholder, but it's a term that we
12
           use here as a place holder to go through the
13
           process of a Visual Impact Assessment.
14
           Sandy, can we pull up Applicant 71826?
      Q
15
           Applicant Exhibit 71826?
16
               Do you have a picture on your screen?
17
           (DeWan) I see it, yes.
      Α
18
           Sometimes there's a delay.
      Q
19
           (DeWan) It's called Mount Prospect Road.
      Α
20
           In Lancaster?
      0
21
           (DeWan) Lancaster in Coos County.
      Α
22
           Correct. And that's from your submission,
      Q
23
           correct?
24
           (DeWan) That is correct.
      Α
```

```
1
               MS. MERRIGAN:
                               For the record, this is
 2
           Applicant's Exhibit 71-1, Attachment 8.
           Would you say that the view from this road
 3
      Q
           possesses a scenic quality?
 4
 5
           (DeWan) First of all, this is not a view from
      Α
 6
           the road.
                      This is the view from the back of a
 7
           private home.
           Okay. Would you say that this possesses a
 8
      Q
 9
           scenic quality?
10
      Α
           (DeWan) I do.
11
      Q
           Why?
           (DeWan) It's a combination of land form,
12
      Α
           vegetation, and to a minor extent some changes
13
14
           brought by the hand of man. It's a combination
           of various distance zones that we're looking at.
15
16
          You just mentioned that this was a view from the
      Q
17
           back of a private property. In fact, isn't this
18
           view also visually accessible from Mt. Prospect
19
           Road in Lancaster?
20
      Α
          No.
21
          And why is that?
      0
22
      Α
           (DeWan) Because this is a view from a very
23
           specific point on the back side of an
24
           individual's home.
```

1 Are you telling this Committee that there is no 0 2 view of these mountains on Mount Prospect Road 3 in Lancaster? 4 Α (DeWan) No. 5 The views that are available from Mount Prospect 0 6 Road in Lancaster, those are views to which the 7 public has a right of legal access, correct? 8 Α (DeWan) That's correct. If they're on a public 9 road. And assuming that there is a view like this from 10 0 11 Mount Prospect Road, a public road, would you 12 agree that that would make this a scenic drive? 13 Α (DeWan) I would say so. 14 And if this is a scenic drive, you should have 0 completed a visual effect rating form, correct? 15 16 (DeWan) This is also a locally designated scenic Α 17 road which we have identified. 18 But you didn't complete a visual effect rating Q 19 form for it, did you? 20 (DeWan) We provided a lot of information Α 21 relative to the characteristics of the road and 22 the people that would be using it. 23 You did not complete a visual effect rating 0 24 form, did you?

```
1
           (DeWan) We did not.
      Α
 2
           Can we go to the next example? 828 of Applicant
      Q
 3
           Exhibit 71-1. Do you want the APP number?
                                                        APP
           36081.
 4
 5
               This view is from Mountain Road in Dalton,
 6
           New Hampshire. This is also from your
           materials, correct?
 7
           (DeWan) That is correct.
 8
      Α
           Would you say it possesses a scenic quality?
 9
      0
           (DeWan) Yes, it does.
10
      Α
11
           And why does it possess a scenic quality?
      Q
12
      Α
           (DeWan) It allows an individual passing by, this
13
           is actually a representation of a view from a
14
           private residence as required by the rules.
15
           it does show a layered landscape and the ability
16
           to see both the foreground/midground and the
17
           background with a lot of different variations in
18
           land form and vegetative cover.
19
           And if this scenic view is accessible from other
      0
           spots on Mountain Road, it would make it a
20
21
           scenic drive under Rule 102.45, correct?
           (DeWan) Again, there's no definition of a scenic
22
      Α
23
           drive, but somebody driving along this road
24
           would see views like this at a limited number of
```

```
1
          other locations.
 2
          Would that make it a scenic resource?
      0
 3
      Α
           (DeWan) Not necessarily.
          Public access, scenic quality.
 4
      0
 5
           (DeWan) This is not a publicly accessible
      Α
 6
          location.
          I didn't ask about the driveway. I asked about
 7
      Q
          this view on Mountain Road. Wouldn't that make
 8
 9
           it a scenic resource?
10
      Α
           (DeWan) I guess it would depend on what we mean
11
          by the term resource. Is just an opening in the
12
          woods framed by a private home a resource?
          I'm talking about a scenic drive or a ride that
13
      0
14
          possesses a scenic quality. Wouldn't this view
15
          from Mountain Road in Dalton qualify?
16
               MR. WALKER: I'm going to object,
17
          Mr. Chairman. Is this a hypothetical?
18
          witness to assume that such views exist because
19
          the only evidence we have is this photo from a
20
          private property.
21
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: I heard it as
22
          a hypothetical. I heard the "if" at the
23
          beginning of the original question.
                                                That was
          your question, was it not?
24
```

```
1
               MS. CONNOR:
                             It was.
 2
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG:
                                              If the same
 3
           view, if people would get the same view from the
 4
           road.
                  That was the way you set it up, right?
 5
               MS. CONNOR:
                             It was.
 6
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: All right.
           (DeWan) It might be considered a scenic
 7
      Α
 8
           resource.
 9
           And again, you didn't complete a visual effect
      0
10
           rating form for the view at this location, did
11
           you?
12
      Α
           (DeWan) We were asked to submit this because the
13
           SEC regulations required a representative
14
           sampling of views from private property which is
15
           what this represents.
16
           Can we go to APP 36133? This is also from the
      Q
17
           sample of photographs you took, correct?
18
           (DeWan) That's correct.
      Α
19
           And this is a view from Deerfield Road in
      0
20
           Allenstown, New Hampshire, correct?
21
           (DeWan) This is representative of what a home on
      Α
22
           the left side of the photograph would see from
23
           their private property.
24
           And Deerfield Road goes in front of this
      0
```

```
1
           property, does it not?
 2
      Α
           (DeWan) That's correct. This is a very brief
 3
           opening in the vegetation along the road.
 4
           And would you agree that the scenery from this
      0
 5
           opening in Deerfield Road possesses a scenic
 6
           quality?
           (DeWan) It has, you can describe the landscape.
 7
      Α
           Again, it's a combination of vegetation and land
 8
 9
           form.
10
           Do you agree that this view from Deerfield Road
      0
           possesses a scenic quality?
11
12
      Α
           (DeWan) It has a scenic quality. I wouldn't
13
           call it the sort of scenery that at least we
14
           think that the SEC is most concerned about.
15
      Q
           Well, under the definition of scenic quality,
16
           you indicated that that view, that this view has
17
           intrinsic beauty in terms of the land form and
18
           the vegetation. Is that what I heard you say?
19
      Α
           (DeWan) I didn't say it was intrinsic beauty.
20
           said it has those characteristics. This is, I
21
           think this is also a view that's primarily a
22
           view from a private property. Therefore, it
           would not be considered a scenic resource.
23
24
           I'm trying to --
      0
```

1 It's a simple gap in the roadside vegetation Α 2 which is very common throughout much of the 3 roadways in New Hampshire. 4 Do you agree, sir, that this view possesses a 0 5 scenic quality? 6 (DeWan) If you want to be absolute and say Α 7 scenic quality can run the gamut from common all the way up to spectacular, you know, somewhere 8 9 along that continuum there is a, you can rate 10 this scenic quality. 11 Q I'm not asking you to rate it, sir. I'm just 12 asking you whether it has a scenic quality. 13 Α (DeWan) It has a scenic quality. Let's let it 14 go at that. 15 Q All right. 16 (DeWan) I don't think it's comparable to a lot Α 17 of the other scenery that you have shown us. And if this same view that, the scenic quality 18 Q 19 view is available from the public road, 20 Deerfield Road, in front of this picture, would 21 you say that the Project, that that, too, would 22 qualify as a scenic drive? 23 (DeWan) Again, let me step back and say that Α 24 what you're asking would apply to probably

1 hundreds of if not thousands of miles of 2 roadways within our study area. 3 0 That's true. (DeWan) That's right. And I think that having 4 Α 5 done these things a number of years, this is not 6 the sort of resource that we typically evaluate 7 to give a regulatory body a sense of visual impact on scenic resources in general. 8 9 you're asking is this going to be considered 10 part of a valuation process that would 11 ultimately end up looking at thousands and 12 thousands of viewpoints like this, you know, I suppose we could, but we would still be working 13 14 at this for the next five years. 15 Q Well, the definitions adopted by this Panel are 16 extremely broad and they include any ride that 17 possesses a scenic quality, and I think we've 18 already established this view has a scenic 19 quality, we know it's on a public road, so it 20 has public access, so I believe under the 21 definitions imposed or adopted by this Panel, it 22 qualifies as a scenic resource. 23 (DeWan) Well, we respectively disagree. Α 24 0 And your disagreement in this sense is not based

1 on the scenic quality, but the fact that it is 2 visually accessible from the public road and you don't believe that scenic views from public 3 roads are scenic resources. 4 5 (DeWan) Our disagreement was that this is a view Α 6 that's representative of a view from a private 7 property, and, by definition, does not qualify as a scenic resource. 8 9 0 But if this same view, and I'm saying if, if 10 this same view is accessible from the public 11 road, Deerfield Road, doesn't that make it a 12 scenic resource? (DeWan) I guess the real question here is would 13 Α 14 this be part of a scenic drive. Again, going 15 back to riding along Deerfield Road, this is a 16 pretty long straight road. There's very few 17 places where you get any views outside the 18 immediate foreground. I don't think that this 19 would fit the definition of the road or the drive or the ride having a scenic quality that, 20 again, it's our understanding that the SEC is 21 22 looking for. This is an incident along a very

 $\{SEC\ 2015-06\}\ [Day\ 30/Afternoon\ Session\ ONLY]\ \{08-31-17\}$

So you just told me that this view is not a view

23

24

0

long road.

1 that is along the whole stretch of the road. Τs 2 that what you're telling me? (DeWan) That is correct. 3 Α So in that few instances that you can see it 4 0 5 makes it all the more special, does it not? 6 (DeWan) Not necessarily. There's a lot to see Α 7 along the road. There's a lot of houses, old trees, stone walls and so forth. 8 9 To the extent that there are any openings on 0 10 Deerfield Road that possess this scenic view 11 which you've already told me possesses at least 12 some scenic quality that would then make that 13 road opening a scenic resource, correct? 14 (DeWan) I still would never consider this, that Α 15 particular road on the basis of this one 16 photograph to be a scenic resource. 17 You didn't complete a visual rating form at this Q site either, did you? 18 (DeWan) We did not. 19 Α Can you pull up APP 36134. This is a simulation 20 0 21 that you did at the same location, is that 22 correct? 23 (DeWan) That is correct. Α 24 Would you agree that this simulation shows the 0

1 Project having a prominent visual effect on this 2 particular view? (DeWan) We have not done an evaluation of this 3 Α 4 particular viewpoint. We simply provided a 5 representative photograph and photo simulation 6 from private viewpoints. With your expertise, sir, would you say that 7 Q this Project will have a prominent visual effect 8 9 on this view? 10 Α (DeWan) We generally do not make snap judgments 11 like you're asking us to do right now. 12 assessment of prominence, dominance and so forth 13 is based upon an understanding of a lot of 14 different factors. The context of the landscape 15 that we're looking at. 16 Sir, what would you need to be able to do to Q 17 offer an opinion to this Panel as to whether 18 those structures have a prominent visual effect 19 on this view? 20 (DeWan) Like we've done for every other example Α 21 of the photo simulations that we've done for the 22 Project. We go there, we photograph it, we 23 photograph the view looking towards the Project, 24 we photograph the context, we photograph any

1		vegetation that may be between the observer and
2		the proposed Project to get a general, to get a
3		very well defined sense of what the landscape
4		feels like and anything that may affect the
5		evaluation of the effect.
б	Q	But based upon the photo simulation that you
7		did, you apparently don't feel comfortable
8		offering an opinion about whether those
9		structures have a prominent visual affect in
10		this view?
11	А	(DeWan) That is correct.
12	Q	Can we go to APP 36097?
13		Sir, this is also a photograph that you
14		took. Is it on your monitor?
15	A	(DeWan) Yes, it is.
16	Q	This view is from Route 104 in Bristol. Would
17		you say that this particular view possesses a
18		scenic quality under Rule 102.44?
19	A	My screen just went blank.
20	Q	So did mine. There we go.
21		Sir, would you agree that this scene
22		possesses a scenic quality under Rule 102.44?
23	А	(DeWan) You can certainly describe the scenery
24		here and you can describe it in qualitative

1 terms. 2 Would you agree that a reasonable person's Q 3 perception of the beauty of either the land forms, the vegetation, as well as any visible 4 5 human additions or alterations makes it have at 6 least one of those scenic qualities? 7 Α (DeWan) I don't understand what you mean by one of those scenic qualities. 8 9 Scenic quality as defined by this Panel at 0 10 102.44 talks about a reasonable person's 11 perception of the intrinsic beauty of either the 12 land forms, the water features which are not 13 visible here or vegetation in the landscape or 14 any human additions or alterations to the 15 landscape. 16 (DeWan) It doesn't say either. It says the Α 17 beauty of land forms, water forms, features or 18 vegetation as well as human additions. 19 Well, we established --0 20 Α (DeWan) When we describe visual quality, we use 21 a way of evaluating all four of those different 22 features. 23 When we started today I asked you whether in 0 fact this definition as drafted talked in the 24

```
1
          alternative because it uses commas and it uses
 2
          the word or. It does not use the word and.
 3
          Correct?
           (DeWan) That is correct.
 4
      Α
 5
          Okay. And would you agree that this particular
      0
 6
          view to a reasonable person possesses a scenic
 7
          quality either in terms of the beauty of the
          land form or the vegetation in the landscape or
 8
          the absence of significant visible human
 9
10
          additions or alterations?
11
      Α
           (DeWan) Again, scenic quality being a very broad
12
          term here, on a scale of zero to 10 it falls
13
          somewhere in that vicinity.
14
          It has at least a scenic quality. Under this
      Q
          definition?
15
16
           (DeWan) It may be very low scenic quality, but
      Α
17
          it has a scenic quality. Even a junk yard has a
18
          scenic quality. Maybe low scenic quality.
19
          A junk yard has an intrinsic beauty, sir?
      0
20
           (DeWan) There are a lot of people, I know an
      Α
21
          artist right now who makes a living by
          assembling piles of junk. To that individual --
22
23
          We're talking about views, sir. Did you just
      0
24
          tell us that the views of a junkyard have
```

```
1
           intrinsic beauty?
 2
           (DeWan) Again, to some people it may. I'm not
      Α
 3
           saying to everybody.
           Under the SEC Rule 102.44, are you telling us
 4
      0
 5
           that a junkyard has a scenic quality?
 6
           (DeWan) Again, if you think of scenic quality
      Α
 7
           being from zero to ten, probably fall down in
           the lower end of that continuum.
 8
 9
           With respect to this view, which has a scenic
      0
10
           quality, which is on a public road, that means
11
           it qualifies as a scenic resource under
12
           subsection (c), correct?
13
      Α
           (DeWan) Because it, you're thinking this is a
14
           part of a scenic drive or ride, is that what
15
           you're asking?
           No, sir. Subsection (c)?
16
      Q
17
      Α
           Yes.
18
           A scenic drive and ride that possess a scenic
      Q
19
           quality.
20
           (DeWan) So you're asking would this qualify as a
      Α
21
           scenic drive and ride.
22
           Correct.
      Q
23
           (DeWan) Again, you need to think about the
      Α
24
           context here. Is this a place that you would
```

1 drive to as part of a Sunday afternoon drive. 2 This particular location was photographed 3 because it was representative of a view from a private residence which is immediately in back 4 5 If you look off to the right, there's a of us. 6 gravel pit and there were a lot of trucks that 7 were screaming by. It's not what I would consider a very scenic location because of the 8 9 other things that were happening in the context of this particular location. 10 11 Q Sir, my question was much more limited than 12 that. I simply want to know whether you agree that this view on a public road which has a 13 14 scenic quality qualifies as a scenic resource. 15 Α (DeWan) What we're seeing here is a very limited 16 part of a view. A view is a person's. 17 you're in the landscape it's not just looking at 18 the 37 and a half degrees that this photograph 19 represents. 20 Can you answer the question with respect to what 0 21 we do have in front of us, sir? 22 Α (DeWan) Just looking at what we have in front of 23 us, I would say this possesses some level of scenic quality. 24

1 My question was whether this is a scenic 0 2 resource. (DeWan) I do not believe it's the type of scenic 3 Α resource that the SEC is looking for when 4 5 they've asked us to do an evaluation of scenic 6 resources. I'm not asking you to determine what the SEC is 7 Q going to determine. I'm asking you whether in 8 your professional opinion under the rules does 9 10 this qualify as a scenic resource? 11 Α (DeWan) No. Because having been there, we do 12 not consider, I don't think there's any way we 13 would have considered this particular location 14 to be a scenic drive or ride. This is meant 15 merely to be a view from a private residence. 16 I understand that, sir. But if this view is Q 17 also visible to those hundreds of thousands of 18 tourists taking scenic rides in New Hampshire, 19 wouldn't that qualify as a scenic resource? (DeWan) Not necessarily. I think this is an 20 Α 21 isolated incident along this particular section 22 of the roadway. 23 You just mentioned that this is a very common 0 24 view that would be seen on Sunday afternoon

```
1
           rides, correct?
 2
           (DeWan) No.
      Α
 3
           I thought that's what I heard. Would you agree,
      0
           sir, that there are hundreds of miles along this
 4
 5
           Project where the New Hampshire public is going
 6
           to have a potential view of the Project?
           (DeWan) No. I would not agree to that.
 7
      Α
           You didn't attempt to investigate scenic views
 8
      Q
 9
           from the nondesignated public roads of
10
           visibility of this Project, did you?
11
      Α
           (DeWan) I think that's a fair statement.
                                                      You
12
           know, I know that Counsel for the Public looked
13
           at virtually every single road that was in the
14
           Project area.
15
      0
           And you did not.
16
           (DeWan) We made no attempt to look at the
      Α
17
           thousands of different road segments that were
18
           in the Project area.
19
           You made no attempt to investigate the potential
      0
20
           visual impact on public roads even though the
21
           definition of scenic resource includes every
           scenic drive and ride in New Hampshire so long
22
23
           as it possesses a scenic quality.
24
           (DeWan) As I said before, we looked at those
      Α
```

1 areas that were designated as towns' scenic 2 roads as well as a Scenic Byways and any places 3 that also showed up on our viewshed mapping. Site Rule 102.45(e) talks about or defines as 4 0 5 scenic resources historic sites that possess a 6 scenic quality, correct? 7 Α (DeWan) That's correct. We've gone over it some length the definition of 8 Q scenic quality. What is the definition of a 9 10 historic site? 11 Α (DeWan) That is found at 102.23. 12 Can you read that definition for us, sir? 0 (DeWan) Quote, "Historic sites," unquote, means 13 Α 14 "historic property," unquote, as defined in RSA 15 227-C:1, VI, namely, quote, "any building, 16 structure, object, district, area or site that 17 is significant in the history, architecture, 18 archeology or culture of this state, its 19 communities or the nation, "unquote. The term includes, quote, "any prehistoric or historic 20 21 district, site, building, structure, or object 22 included in, or eligible for inclusion in, the 23 National Register of Historic Places maintained 24 by the Secretary of the Interior, "unquote,

```
1
           pursuant to 36 C.F.R. 800.16(1)(1).
 2
           And although this definition includes those
      Q
 3
          historic sites that are specifically designated,
 4
           it also includes sites that are not designated,
 5
           correct?
 6
           (DeWan) That is correct.
      Α
           And in this case, am I correct in terms of
 7
      Q
           reviewing historic sites to which the public has
 8
 9
           a right of access that might have a potential
10
           view of this Project, you relied on those that
11
           are specifically designated?
12
      Α
           (Kimball) We relied on those that are on the
13
           National Register, on the State Register, and
14
           all those determined by the New Hampshire DHR to
           be eligible for inclusion in the National
15
           Register that could be spacially identified.
16
17
           So you relied on those that are already
      Q
18
           designated and those that are eligible for
19
           designation.
20
           (Kimball) Correct.
      Α
21
           You did not include any other historic sites
      0
22
           that possess a scenic quality that aren't
23
           designated or eligible, correct?
24
           (Kimball) They had to be determined eligible.
      Α
```

```
1
           So somebody had to put them on a list?
      0
 2
      Α
           (Kimball) Correct.
 3
           And if they weren't on a list, even though the
      0
           definition of historic sites under the SEC's
 4
 5
           rules is much broader, you didn't include those
 6
           sites?
           (Kimball) We rely on databases.
 7
      Α
           Can we pull up CFP Exhibit 441?
 8
      Q
                This exhibit is the New Hampshire
 9
10
           Division's Determination of Eligibility of the
11
           North Road Agricultural District, correct?
12
      Α
           (DeWan) That's what it appears.
13
      0
           And that includes North Road and Grain Road in
14
           Lancaster.
15
      Α
           (DeWan) That's correct.
           And it was determined that those two roads
16
      Q
17
           comprise a district that is eligible for
18
           designation, correct?
19
           (DeWan) That's correct.
      Α
20
           Okay. Let's pull up Applicant Exhibit 1,
      0
           Appendix 18. Possible to blow that up just a
21
22
           tad?
                 The two photos?
23
                On your screen are two photos from the
24
           North Road Agricultural Historic District that
```

1 are eligible for designation. You see those two 2 views? 3 Α (DeWan) Yes. And it's my understanding that under the 4 0 5 definition that you just gave me, in terms of 6 determining the scenic resources, this would be one of them because it is determined to be a 7 Historic District that is in fact eligible? 8 9 Α (Kimball) No, because it is made up of a 10 composition of private property. The public 11 doesn't have access to go on to the farmland 12 that makes up the district. Well, according to the paperwork it is in fact 13 0 14 the whole North Road that is designated as an Agricultural Historic District, and these are 15 16 photos of North Road, are they not? 17 (Kimball) These are photos of North Road and the Α 18 private properties that abut North Road. 19 And they've been, that whole road has been 0 20 designated as an historical district? 21 (Kimball) The area has been designated as a Α 22 Historic District and the road runs through the district. 23 24 And those would include the views from the road, 0

1 would it not? 2 (Kimball) Those photos are views from the road. Α 3 And even though we have an eligible Historic Q 4 District, this is not one of the districts or 5 the roads that you considered, is it? 6 (Kimball) It is not. Α It does appear, however, coincidentally even 7 Q though you didn't mean to include this, you did 8 9 take a photo of this, didn't you? 10 (Kimball) As a representative sampling from Α 11 private properties that would be impacted. 12 Can we pull up 822? Q So this is the photo you took from along 13 14 North Road in Lancaster which is where the 15 Historic Agricultural District is located. In 16 fact, it's the same photo that we just saw but 17 back a little bit. And you took that photo from 18 the public road to show the impact of this 19 Project, correct? This actually is the photo 20 sim I meant to do. 21 (DeWan) This is the photo sim. I don't believe Α 22 you showed the existing conditions photograph. 23 Can we show the one that was prior to that? 0 24 Perfect. So this is the photo that you took

```
1
           that shows the existing conditions?
 2
           (DeWan) That is correct.
      Α
 3
           Historic District on a public road.
      0
                                                 Ιt
           qualifies under subsection (e) as a scenic
 4
 5
           resource, does it not?
 6
           (DeWan) Well, that's, yeah. Again, the area,
      Α
           the Historic District is not publicly
 7
           accessible. The road that goes through it is
 8
 9
           accessible, obviously. It's a public road.
10
           This is described in the historic consultant's
11
           report, and we've been hearing that discussion
12
           over the last several days.
13
      0
                   If I go back to and I don't need to you
14
          pull this up, Sandie. I'll reference it.
           Counsel for the Public Exhibit 441 which is the
15
16
           determination of eligibility for this district
17
           and this road, it talks about the fact that it
18
           is significant because it's a group of buildings
19
           and associated landscape features that are part
20
           of the agricultural context of Lancaster.
21
           the buildings and the associated landscape
22
           feature that is eligible for designation is
23
           visually accessible from this public road, is it
24
          not?
```

1 (DeWan) Part of it is. Α 2 Yes, and so would that, sir, not make it, Q wouldn't that make it a historic site under 3 4 subsection (e) provided it possesses a scenic 5 quality? 6 (Kimball) It would be visually accessible as you Α 7 stated. We agree it would not be publicly accessible. 8 9 We can argue about the semantics of whether you 0 10 can access this by driving down the road. 11 you agree that it possesses a scenic quality? 12 Α (DeWan) There's no doubt that it does have a lot 13 of components that people would describe as 14 being scenic. Especially if the fog would lift But it is a combination of structures, 15 here. 16 landscape, a road that goes through it. 17 So it has a scenic quality because of the Q 18 landscape. What were the other characteristics 19 you gave me? (DeWan) The way the road follows the contour of 20 Α 21 the land, the patterns of open space, the 22 position of the buildings on the land. 23 The last one you indicated that if the cloud 0 24 were lifted, we might able to tell more, too,

1 correct? 2 (DeWan) As you can see in the photograph here, Α there is some indication of the mountains behind 3 4 it. 5 Coincidentally, Counsel for the Public took a 0 6 picture at this same spot so can we have Exhibit 7 460? That, sir, was taken to a substantially 8 brighter day. Would you agree that that view, 9 the land form and the landscape possess a scenic 10 quality? 11 Α (DeWan) A much warmer day also. You certainly 12 are able to see a lot more of the landscape at 13 this point. 14 And would you agree, sir, that that mountain Q 15 view possesses a scenic quality? 16 Α (DeWan) Yes. 17 Let's go back to the photo simulation you did in Q terms of what this scenic view, scenic resource 18 19 is going to look like if this Project goes 20 The obvious big change is that steel forward. 21 structure that stands substantially above the 22 historic building; is that correct? 23 Α (DeWan) I don't know if the building is historic 24 or not, but it certainly is a visible preference

1 and a change from the prephotograph condition. 2 How tall is that structure? 0 3 Α (DeWan) I don't know what the height of the structure off the top of my head. It might be 4 5 in the previous pages in this particular. 6 Is it fair to say it's about two thirds taller 0 7 than the Lancaster building? (DeWan) I don't know what the back side of that 8 Α 9 looks like. 10 And in addition to the one that is most visible 0 11 because it's broad, there's also a monopole a 12 little bit in front of it, to the side, that's 13 new? 14 (DeWan) That's correct. Α 15 0 How close are those, can we put up the two? 16 Sandie, can you pull up -- that's our 17 Exhibit 460. No. I want the after -- 460 is a 18 little bit closer view and little easier to see. 19 The bottom photo and Counsel for the Public 460. 20 Sir, would you agree that the steel 21 structure that sort of dwarfs the building in 22 the bottom photo will have a significant visual 23 impact on the scenic resource? 24 (DeWan) We have not done an evaluation of this Α

```
particular location.
 1
 2
           I understand that, sir. I'm asking you for your
      Q
 3
           professional judgment given that we now know
 4
           these buildings in this road is part of an
 5
           eligible Historic District whether this
 6
           structure will have a visual impact.
           (DeWan) It will have a visual impact.
                                                   I don't
 7
      Α
           know the severity of it. We have not done an
 8
 9
           evaluation of this particular location.
10
           Should you have done one since this is a
      0
           historical district?
11
12
      Α
           (DeWan) We were asked to, well, we provided this
13
           because of the requirement that we do a view
14
           from a private property.
           I understand that.
15
      0
           (DeWan) And in leaf-off conditions.
16
      Α
17
           But I'm asking whether in fact you should have
      Q
18
           done it as part of the requirement under the
19
           rules that asks you to include all eligible
20
           historic sites from which there is a view with a
21
           scenic quality.
22
      Α
           (DeWan) There's no requirement to do specific
23
           number of photo simulations if that's what
24
           you're asking.
```

```
1
           No, sir. You did a photo simulation.
      0
 2
           asking whether or not you were required under
           the rules to do an additional --
 3
           (DeWan) Evaluation.
 4
      Α
 5
      0
           Yes.
 6
           (DeWan) Again, we, if, we did not do it from
      Α
 7
           location because this is part of a historic,
           potential eligible Historic District which is
 8
 9
           private property.
10
           But the views that we're looking at we don't
      0
11
           need to go on any public property to access, do
12
           we?
           (DeWan) Not from the views that we've taken.
13
      Α
                                                          Ι
14
           think that what we've done is we provided as
15
           part of our VIA a representative sampling of
16
           other views that may be comparable to this type
17
           of view.
18
           Wouldn't you agree that the visual impact in
      Q
19
           this particular scenic resource is high?
20
      Α
           (DeWan) No. Again, the resource is a very
21
           large, by your definition is a very large area,
22
           and we're seeing a very small part of it.
23
           Would you agree, sir, that the impact on this
      0
24
           particular location is high?
```

1	A	(DeWan) Again, I do not make judgments based
2		upon a single photograph. I would have to look
3		at it from a variety of different viewpoints and
4		be there to do the evaluation.
5	Q	And you're not willing to give us your
6		professional opinion about whether this is a
7		high visual impact from this vantage point?
8	А	(DeWan) Again, as you know from reading our VIA,
9		the process of doing an evaluation takes into
10		account a lot of different factors. It may end
11		up being high, but, you know, I don't do snap
12		judgments like you're asking.
13	Q	Okay. I want to move on to towns and village
14		centers now. Site Rule 102.45(f) also includes
15		as scenic resources town and village centers
16		that possess a scenic quality, correct?
17	A	(DeWan) That's correct. Item F.
18	Q	And towns and village centers are certainly
19		resources to which the public has access, right?
20	A	(DeWan) Generally, very true. Unless, of
21		course, there are private properties that make
22		up village centers, but I think by your
23		definition by the places that we have seen and
24		in fact they're a town center or village center

1 that implies that there's land that's commonly 2 held and, therefore, accessible to the public. 3 Q So the real part of that aspect of the scenic resource definition is to determine whether the 4 5 publicly accessible town or village center 6 possesses a scenic quality. That's what we need to determine in order to find out how many towns 7 and village centers constitute scenic resources, 8 9 right? 10 Α (DeWan) That's correct. Sort of also begs the 11 question of what is a village center. Village 12 center can mean many different things. 13 be just the initial historic center of a town 14 that may only be four or five buildings. 15 might be all the buildings that have been added 16 in the last 15 to 20 years. 17 The fact that it could be quite broad simply Q 18 means that your study had to be quite broad, 19 does it not? 20 (DeWan) I think we have to be observant when we Α 21 go and look at these places. 22 Did you visit every town and village center Q 23 within the 2800 square mile area surrounding 24 this Project?

1 (DeWan) We certainly did when we did our initial Α 2 study looking. Going out three miles because that was our initial understanding. When we 3 4 went out looking at everything within ten miles, 5 we were guided by a recognition that once you 6 get beyond a certain distance, you're not going to see this Project. So did we visit every town 7 center within ten miles, I would say no. 8 9 You didn't even visit every town and village 0 10 center within five miles, did you? 11 Α (DeWan) Like I said, we visited everything 12 within three miles. And in driving around, I'm 13 sure we went out, went to some that were within 14 that three- to five-mile range. In looking at, 15 you know, where to go in our time that we spent 16 in the field we were guided by our viewshed maps 17 which indicated where it may be likely that the 18 Project may be visible. 19 Site Rule 301.05 requires you to conduct a Q 20 visibility analysis of the areas of potential 21 visual impact at a radius of ten miles. 22 Α (DeWan) That's correct. 23 And you've just told me that with regard to 0 24 towns and village centers, you made the

1		determination to do that study within three
2		miles.
3	А	(DeWan) When we did our initial work that was
4		our first volume that we submitted we went out
5		three miles. Actually, we concentrated on three
6		miles. Our computer analysis went out five
7		miles. After we got the final draft of the
8		rules in December of that year, we amended it to
9		go out to ten miles.
10	Q	But you did not visit every town and village
11		center after ten miles?
12	А	(DeWan) Not if there's no potential visual
13		impact.
14	Q	Recreational areas. The Site Rules also define
15		as a scenic resource recreational trails, parks
16		or areas established, protected or maintained in
17		whole or in part with public funds, correct?
18	А	(DeWan) That's what D says.
19	Q	And D does not require that these recreational
20		trails, parks or areas possess a scenic quality?
21	А	(DeWan) It's the only one of the six that it
22		does not.
23	Q	Right. Can we see Exhibit 459?
24		This, sir, I will represent is a play field

1		for Profile School, a public elementary school
2		in Bethlehem. Would you agree that this is a
3		recreational area established or maintained in
4		whole or in part with public funds?
5	А	(DeWan) If that is the Profile School, then we
6		know that it's a public school. So yes.
7	Q	So would you agree that under subsection (d) it
8		would qualify as a scenic resource as it is a
9		recreational area established in whole or in
10		part with public funds?
11	А	(DeWan) I would say so.
12	Q	And that would be true even if it didn't also
13		happen to have a spectacular view, right?
14	А	(DeWan) If the view is not or the scenic quality
15		is not a consideration.
16	Q	Under D?
17	А	(DeWan) Or recreational parks or areas.
18	Q	Did you evaluate the potential visual impact on
19		all of the public school playing fields within
20		the 2800 square miles surrounding this Project?
21	А	(DeWan) We looked at all the schools within
22		three miles when we did our initial evaluation.
22		
23		And then when we did our supplemental evaluation

```
1
           miles and looked at areas that may be affected.
 2
           So you're telling us you did look at every
      Q
 3
           public school playing field within 2800 square
           miles?
 4
 5
           (Kimball) If it was located in the databases
      Α
 6
           that we used, then it would have come up.
           Did you go to Profile School in Bethlehem?
 7
      Q
           (Kimball) We did go to Profile School in
 8
      Α
 9
           Bethlehem.
           (DeWan) Several times.
10
      Α
11
      Q
           And did you consider the impact of this Project
12
           on that school?
13
      Α
           (DeWan) We did.
14
           What is the impact?
      0
           (Kimball) The Project will be underground at
15
      Α
16
           this location. There will be no impact.
17
           What about schools further south where it's not
      Q
18
           going to be buried? Did you look at all those
19
           schools?
20
      Α
           (Kimball) If they came up on a database, then
21
           they would have been included.
22
           What database did you look at to come up with
      Q
23
           the public schools?
24
           (Kimball) Conservation areas, in addition to the
      Α
```

1 databases, looking at master plans, that would 2 have referenced playgrounds or public parks or 3 recreational playing fields. Did those two databases include the public 4 0 5 schools in each town and village? 6 (Kimball) Oftentimes when there is a Α recreational, when the recreational --7 I didn't ask about oftentimes. I asked whether 8 Q 9 they did. 10 Α (Kimball) The public school itself would not be 11 a scenic resource. It would be the playing 12 field. 13 0 Wouldn't you agree that most public schools have 14 at least one playground? 15 Α (Kimball) Potentially. 16 And you didn't look at those. Q 17 (Kimball) We would only look at those scenic Α 18 resources that would come up through the 19 databases or the research that we did. 20 So only if the playground at the public school 0 21 was in a conservation area or on a master plan 22 somewhere? 23 (Kimball) Or any other documentation that we Α 24 conducted our research through.

```
1
           You're certainly not going to represent to this
      0
 2
           Committee that you looked at every playground
 3
           and every public school along 2800 square miles,
 4
           are you?
 5
           (Kimball) Within the area of potential visual
      Α
 6
           impact we did a pretty good job.
           Within three miles?
 7
      Q
           (Kimball) Even beyond. Within the area of
 8
      Α
 9
           potential visual impact.
10
           We talked a little bit earlier about the 20
      0
           percent reduction that folks here in New
11
12
           Hampshire can obtain from their property taxes
13
           if they allow the public access to their land,
14
           remember that?
15
      Α
           (DeWan) That's correct.
16
           Since public funding is used to obtain the legal
      Q
17
           right of access for recreation on those lands,
18
           20 percent off their property taxes, would you
19
           agree that if those lands are accessible to the
20
           public, won't those sites qualify under
21
           subsection (d) as a scenic resource regardless
22
           of scenic quality?
23
      Α
           (Kimball) No.
24
           (DeWan) We don't believe so. In looking at the
      Α
```

1 past record of other Projects that have come 2 before this body, I don't think that's ever been counted as a scenic resource. 3 (Kimball) In addition, I would add that a tax 4 Α 5 break is not necessarily receiving public funds. 6 So under subsection (d) of scenic resources, it 0 7 includes any area established, protected or maintained in whole or in part with public 8 9 funds. You're telling us that the public who 10 holds open their land in exchange for a 20 11 percent reduction in current use property tax, 12 that that's not an area established, protected 13 or maintained in part with public funds? 14 (Kimball) I don't know very much about the Α 15 current use program, but I wouldn't consider 16 them receiving public funds by having a 20 17 percent discount on how much they pay. 18 So it's fair to say then you didn't consider any Q 19 of the land to which members of the public in 20 New Hampshire have access to through the current 21 use program? 22 Α (Kimball) The three million acres of land in the 23 State of New Hampshire were not considered. 24 Did you not consider it because it's a 0

```
significant amount of public land?
 1
 2
           (Kimball) We did not consider it because it does
      Α
          not receive public funds.
 3
          You're talking about receive public funds.
 4
      0
                                                       The
 5
          taxpayer receives a break, but it is the
 6
          municipality that gives up money in order to
 7
          give the public access to these lands.
                                                   Isn't
          that not the state providing public access with
 8
 9
          public funds?
10
               MR. NEEDLEMAN:
                               Objection. At this point I
11
          think it calls for a legal conclusion.
          witness has stated their basis for this.
12
13
               PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: Ms. Connor?
14
                             I will rephrase the question.
               MS. CONNOR:
          Ms. Kimball, you mentioned that you didn't
15
      0
16
          consider these current use public lands because
17
          the landowners didn't receive funds. Isn't that
18
          what I just heard?
           (Kimball) Correct. That's what I said.
19
      Α
          As I look at subsection (d) it doesn't speak,
20
      0
21
          the word "received" is not in the definition, is
22
          it?
23
      Α
           (Kimball) Right. The word "received" is not in
24
          the definition.
```

1 So why is it you didn't consider the private 0 2 lands to which the public has access in the state for recreation? 3 (Kimball) As I said, we didn't consider a tax 4 Α 5 break to those landowners as land that was 6 protected or maintained in whole or in part with public funds. In addition, I would add that 7 there is no mapping or spacial identification of 8 9 these places maintained by the state. 10 So, in other words, to determine these resources 0 11 requires a little more investigative work on 12 your part? (Kimball) It doesn't exist. 13 Α 14 The current use lands don't exist? 0 15 Α (Carbonneau) As a spacial database. As a 16 spacial entity to identify their location it 17 does not exist. 18 So because there's no database from which to Q 19 retrieve this information, you didn't look at 20 it? 21 (Kimball) No. As I said, the primary reason we Α 22 didn't look at it is because it is not protected 23 or maintained in whole or in part with public 24 funds.

1	Q	Would you agree that these lands to which the
2		public has a statutory and express right of
3		public access could also qualify as a scenic
4		resource because they have a scenic quality?
5	A	(DeWan) In order to know that, we need to find
6		out where they are, and as Jess said, the
7		mapping doesn't exist. Some of them may have a
8		scenic quality. Some of them may be hay fields
9		and some of them may be wood lots. I think one
10		thing which we look for is the precedence, what
11		has come before this Board in the past. How has
12		that issue been treated. And from our
13		knowledge, there's never been a case that's been
14		brought before the SEC that considers that.
15	Q	Am I correct that each town would have a
16		database that would tell you what land is
17		receiving a current use break, and, therefore,
18		open to the public?
19	A	(Kimball) The town would have a list but no
20		spacial identification of those.
21	Q	What do you mean when you say no spacial
22		identification?
23	A	(Kimball) The list is not tied to the tax maps,
24		and I don't know if that list is held with the

1 state or by the town. I believe it's held by 2 the state. 3 Are you telling this Committee that if you went 0 4 to a town along this route, you wouldn't be able 5 to get a list from the Town Hall of those lands 6 in current use? 7 Α (Kimball) We wouldn't be able to get a spacial database, a spacial representation of where 8 9 those exist. 10 How about if you asked somebody at the Town Hall 0 the addresses for various land that are in 11 current use? 12 13 Α (Kimball) What's your question? 14 Wouldn't you be able to do that? 0 15 Α (Kimball) To go to each town and spacially map 16 the location of the current use? 17 To go to the each town and even ask the Q 18 question. Go to the Town Hall, you get the tax 19 maps, you know what land's in current use, you 20 ask somebody how do I get there? 21 (Kimball) Fundamentally, we don't agree that Α 22 current use properties would be considered 23 scenic resources. 24 0 But in this case you didn't attempt to make that

1		analysis, did you?
2	А	(Kimball) I'm telling you my analysis now is
3		that it is not considered a scenic resource.
4	Q	I understand that's your conclusion. I'm asking
5		whether you attempted to locate this data or to
6		access these lands?
7		PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: And that's
8		been asked and answered. The answer to that is
9		no.
10		MS. CONNOR: All right. I'll move on.
11		PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: You should
12		probably come to a breaking point whenever it is
13		convenient for you.
14		MS. CONNOR: That's convenient because I'm
15		about to go into a new area.
16		PRESIDING OFFICER HONIGBERG: There you go.
17		We're going to adjourn for the day. The next
18		time we're together is September 11th. And at
19		that point we'll resume with this Panel. Ms.
20		Connor will have the microphone.
21		(Whereupon Day 30 Afternoon Session
22		adjourned at 5:15 p.m.)
23		
24		

CERTIFICATE

I, Cynthia Foster, Registered Professional
Reporter and Licensed Court Reporter, duly authorized
to practice Shorthand Court Reporting in the State of
New Hampshire, hereby certify that the foregoing
pages are a true and accurate transcription of my
stenographic notes of the hearing for use in the
matter indicated on the title sheet, as to which a
transcript was duly ordered;

I further certify that I am neither attorney nor counsel for, nor related to or employed by any of the parties to the action in which this transcript was produced, and further that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed in this case, nor am I financially interested in this action.

Dated at West Lebanon, New Hampshire, this 6th day of September, 2017.

Cynthia Foster, LCR

{SEC 2015-06} [Day 30/Afternoon Session ONLY] {08-31-17